#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### FORM 10-Q

X	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SEC	URITIES EXCHANGE AC	CT OF 1934							
	For the Quarterly Period Ende	d September 30, 2013								
	OR									
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SEC	URITIES EXCHANGE AC	CT OF 1934							
	Commission File Num	ber: 0-20293								
	UNION FIRST MARKET BANKSHARES CORPORATION (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)									
	VIRGINIA (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)		54-1598552 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)							
	1051 East Cary Street Suite 1200 Richmond, Virginia 23219 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)									
	(804) 633-50 (Registrant's telephone number									
	Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be eding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such re									
and j	Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted or posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the prit and post such files).		such shorter period that the registrant was required to							
			Yes ⊠ No □							
	Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of		or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of							
		Accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company								
	Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b	-2 of the Exchange Act).	Yes □ No ⊠							

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of November 4, 2013 was 24,923,908.

### UNION FIRST MARKET BANKSHARES CORPORATION FORM 10-Q INDEX

ITEM		PAGE
	PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and September 30, 2012	1
	Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	2
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	3
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	4
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	5
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	6
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	39
Item 2	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	40
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	70
Item 4	Controls and Procedures	71
	PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	72
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	72
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	74
Item 6	Exhibits	75
	Signatures	76
	ii	

#### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

**Item 1 - Financial Statements** 

### UNION FIRST MARKET BANKSHARES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Dollars in thousands, except share data)

	s	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012		September 30, 2012
ACCEPTO		(Unaudited)		(Audited)		(Unaudited)
ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents:						
Cash and due from banks	\$	65,703	\$	71,426	\$	52,095
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	J	9,224	Ф	11,320	Ф	10,081
Money market investments		9,224		11,520		10,081
Federal funds sold		154		155		157
Total cash and cash equivalents		75,082		82,902		62,334
Securities available for sale, at fair value		589,437		585.382		622,067
Restricted stock, at cost		19,531		20,687		20,687
Loans held for sale		58,179		167,698		141,965
Loans, net of unearned income		3,002,246		2,966,847		2,908,510
Less allowance for loan losses		33,877		34,916		39,894
Net loans		2,968,369		2,931,931		2,868,616
Bank premises and equipment, net		82,523		85,409		87,305
Other real estate owned, net of valuation allowance		35,709		32,834		34,440
Core deposit intangibles, net		12,900		15,778		16,966
Goodwill		59,400		59,400		59,400
Other assets		145,978		113,844		114,413
Total assets	\$	4,047,108	\$	4,095,865	\$	4,028,193
LIABILITIES						
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits		697,199		645,901		604,274
Interest-bearing demand deposits		097,199		043,901		004,274
NOW accounts		463,556		454,150		418.988
Money market accounts		924,910		957,130		898,625
Savings accounts		231,947		207,846		204,317
Time deposits of \$100,000 and over		438,476		508,630		534,797
Other time deposits		468,837		524,110		538,778
Total interest-bearing deposits		2,527,726		2,651,866		2,595,505
Total deposits		3,224,925		3,297,767		3,199,779
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase		79,202		54,270		94,616
Other short-term borrowings		72,000		78,000		59,500
Trust preferred capital notes		60,310		60,310		60,310
•		138,483		136,815		136,260
Long-term borrowings		20 515		22.040		24.770
Other liabilities Total liabilities	_	38,517		32,840		34,779
		2,022,121				- , <u> </u>
Commitments and contingencies						
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY						
Common stock, \$1.33 par value, shares authorized 36,000,000; issued and outstanding,				22.5		<b>8.4.4</b>
24,916,425 shares, 25,270,970 shares, and 25,967,705 shares, respectively.		32,930		33,510		34,433
Surplus  Patrimed counings		169,294		176,635		186,224
Retained earnings Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income		232,024		215,634		209,308
Total stockholders' equity	_	(577) 433,671		10,084 435,863		12,984 442,949
		, ,		<u> </u>		, <u> </u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	4,047,108	\$	4,095,865	\$	4,028,193

 $See\ accompanying\ notes\ to\ consolidated\ financial\ statements.$ 

### UNION FIRST MARKET BANKSHARES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

		Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2013		2012		2013		2012			
	(Un	audited)	(1	Jnaudited)	(Ui	naudited)		(Unaudited)			
Interest and dividend income:											
Interest and fees on loans	\$	38,895	\$	40,836	\$	116,806	\$	121,743			
Interest on Federal funds sold		-		1		1		1			
Interest on deposits in other banks		3		4		14		58			
Interest and dividends on securities:											
Taxable		1,849		2,848		5,856		9,488			
Nontaxable		2,094		1,814		6,135		5,391			
Total interest and dividend income		42,841		45,503		128,812		136,681			
Interest expense:											
Interest on deposits		3,371		4,726		11,033		15,084			
Interest on federal funds purchased		26		28		62		29			
Interest on short-term borrowings		62		69		170		160			
Interest on long-term borrowings		1,524		1,918		4,533		6,212			
Total interest expense		4,983		6,741		15,798		21,485			
Net interest income		37,858		38,762		113,014		115,196			
Provision for loan losses		1,800		2,400		4,850		8,900			
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		36,058		36,362		108,164		106,296			
Noninterest income:											
Service charges on deposit accounts		2,474		2,222		7,093		6,643			
Other service charges, commissions and fees		3,185		2,768		9,214		8,115			
Gains (losses) on securities transactions, net		5		(1)		47		4			
Gains on sales of mortgage loans, net of commissions		2,061		4,755		10,581		11,352			
Gains (losses) on sales of bank premises		(7)		(309)		(337)		34			
Other operating income		1,498		1,067		3,751		3,084			
Total noninterest income		9,216		10,502		30,349		29,232			
Noninterest expenses:											
Salaries and benefits		17,416		17,116		53,294		51,027			
Occupancy expenses		2,820		3,262		8,439		9,001			
Furniture and equipment expenses		1,665		1,809		5,250		5,440			
Other operating expenses		12,231		11,081		34,932		33,675			
Total noninterest expenses		34,132		33,268		101,915		99,143			
Income before income taxes		11,142		13,596		36,598		36,385			
Income tax expense		3,196		3,970		10,206		10,416			
Net income	\$	7,946	\$	9,626	\$	26,392	\$	25,969			
Earnings per common share, basic	\$	0.32	\$	0.37	\$	1.06	\$	1.00			
Earnings per common share, diluted	\$	0.32	\$	0.37	\$	1.06	\$	1.00			
<b>0 1</b>	<del>*</del>	0.52	Ψ	0.57	Ψ	1.00	Ψ	1.00			

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

# UNION FIRST MARKET BANKSHARES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Dollars in thousands)

	Three Mon Septem			Ended 30,			
	2013	2012		2013		2012	
	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)	(Unaudited)			(Unaudited)
Net income	\$ 7,946	\$	9,626	\$	26,392	\$	25,969
Other comprehensive income (loss):							
Change in fair value of cash flow hedges	454		(202)		1,589		(492)
Unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during period (net of tax, \$212 and							
\$6,580 and \$1,172 and \$2,062 for three and nine months ended September 30,							
2013 and 2012)	(393)		2,175		(12,220)		3,829
Reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income (net of tax, \$2 and							
\$17 and \$0 and \$1 for three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012)	(3)		1		(30)		(3)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	58		1,974		(10,661)		3,334
Comprehensive income	\$ 8,004	\$	11,600	\$	15,731	\$	29,303

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

# UNION FIRST MARKET BANKSHARES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 AND 2012

(Dollars in thousands, except share amounts) (Unaudited)

	Common Stock	Surplus	Retained Earnings	C	Accumulated Other omprehensive ncome (Loss)	Total
Balance - December 31, 2011	\$ 34,672	\$ 187,493	\$ 189,824	\$	9,650	\$ 421,639
Net income - 2012			25,969			25,969
Other comprehensive income (net of tax, \$2,060)					3,334	3,334
Dividends on Common Stock (\$.25 per share)			(6,058)			(6,058)
Stock purchased under stock repurchase plan (220,265 shares)	(293)	(2,570)				(2,863)
Issuance of common stock under Dividend Reinvestment Plan (31,179 shares)	41	386	(427)			-
Issuance of common stock under Stock Incentive Plan (1,165 shares)	2	14				16
Vesting of restricted stock under Stock Incentive Plan (9,647 shares)	13	(13)				-
Net settle for taxes on Restricted Stock Awards (1,818 shares)	(2)	(24)				(26)
Stock-based compensation expense		938				938
Balance - September 30, 2012	\$ 34,433	\$ 186,224	\$ 209,308	\$	12,984	\$ 442,949
Balance - December 31, 2012	\$ 33,510	\$ 176,635	\$ 215,634	s	10,084	\$ 435,863
Net income - 2013		,	26,392		.,	26,392
Other comprehensive loss (net of tax, \$6,597)					(10,661)	(10,661)
Dividends on Common Stock (\$.40 per share)			(9,296)		, , ,	(9,296)
Stock purchased under stock repurchase plan (500,000 shares)	(664)	(8,835)				(9,499)
Issuance of common stock under Dividend Reinvestment Plan (37,182 shares)	50	656	(706)			-
Issuance of common stock under Stock Incentive Plan (16,845 shares)	21	248				269
Vesting of restricted stock under Stock Incentive Plan (12,120 shares)	16	(16)				-
Net settle for taxes on Restricted Stock Awards (2,563 shares)	(3)	(16)				(19)
Stock-based compensation expense		622				622
Balance - September 30, 2013	\$ 32,930	\$ 169,294	\$ 232,024	\$	(577)	\$ 433,671

 $See\ accompanying\ notes\ to\ consolidated\ financial\ statements.$ 

### UNION FIRST MARKET BANKSHARES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 AND 2012

(Dollars in thousands)

		2013		2012		
	(U	naudited)	(Unaudited)			
Operating activities:	,	ĺ	,	ĺ		
Net income	\$	26,392	\$	25,969		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash and cash equivalents provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation of bank premises and equipment		4,542		5,049		
Writedown of OREO		491		-		
Amortization, net		10,675		11,406		
Provision for loan losses		4,850		8,900		
Gains on the sale of investment securities		(47)		(4		
Decrease (increase) in loans held for sale, net		109,519		(67,142		
Losses on sales of other real estate owned, net		224		442		
Losses (gains) on bank premises, net		337		(34		
Stock-based compensation expenses		622		938		
Increase in other assets		(26,255)		(4,382		
Increase in other liabilities		7,266		2,630		
Net cash and cash equivalents provided by (used in) operating activities		138,616		(16,228		
Investing activities:						
Purchases of securities available for sale		(177,948)		(131,262		
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale		42,843		2,186		
Proceeds from maturities, calls and paydowns of securities available for sale		106,327		125,988		
Net increase in loans		(48,515)		(109,812		
		(2,981)		(1,731		
Net increase in bank premises and equipment		5 005		0.146		
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned		5,085		9,148		
Improvements to other real estate owned		(460)	_	(358		
Net cash and cash equivalents used in investing activities		(75,649)		(105,841		
Financing activities:						
Net increase in noninterest-bearing deposits		51,298		69,739		
Net increase in NOW accounts		9,406		6,383		
Net decrease in money market accounts		(32,220)		(6,268		
Net increase in savings accounts		24,101		25,160		
Net decrease in time deposits of \$100,000 and over		(70,154)		(16,758		
Net decrease in other time deposits		(55,273)		(53,582		
Net increase in short-term borrowings		18,932		91,121		
Net increase (decrease) in long-term borrowings (1)		1,668		(19,121		
Cash dividends paid - common stock		(9,296)		(6,058		
Repurchase of common stock		(9,499)		(2,862		
Issuance of common stock		269		16		
Taxes paid related to net share settlement of equity awards		(19)		(26		
Net cash and cash equivalents (used in) provided by financing activities		(70,787)		87,744		
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(7,820)		(34,325		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period		82,902		96,659		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	\$	75,082	\$	62,334		
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information						
Cash payments for:						
Interest	\$	16,258	\$	22,495		
Income taxes		7,900		10,500		
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities						
Unrealized (loss) gain on securities available for sale	\$	(18,846)	\$	5,88		
Changes in fair value of interest rate swap loss		1,589		(492		
Transfers from loans to other real estate owned		7,227		10,756		
Transfers from bank premises to other real estate owned		988		653		

(1) See Note 5 "Borrowings" related to 2013 activity. See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

#### UNION FIRST MARKET BANKSHARES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) September 30, 2013

#### 1. ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Union First Market Bankshares Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company"). Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for interim financial information and follow general practice within the banking industry. Accordingly, the unaudited consolidated financial statements do not include all the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. However, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring accruals) necessary for a fair presentation of the results of the interim periods presented have been made. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year.

These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. If needed, certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2011-11, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210) – Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities." This ASU requires entities to disclose both gross information and net information about both instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the balance sheet and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. An entity is required to apply the amendments for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. An entity should provide the disclosures required by those amendments retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. The adoption of ASU 2011-11 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, "Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment" The amendments in this ASU apply to all entities that have indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill, reported in their financial statements. The amendments in this ASU provide an entity with the option to make a qualitative assessment about the likelihood that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired to determine whether it should perform a quantitative impairment test. The amendments also enhance the consistency of impairment testing guidance among long-lived asset categories by permitting an entity to assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to calculate the asset's fair value when testing an indefinite-lived intangible asset for impairment. The amendments are effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of ASU 2012-02 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities." The amendments in this ASU clarify the scope for derivatives accounted for in accordance with Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to netting arrangements. An entity is required to apply the amendments for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The adoption of ASU 2013-01 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income." The amendments in this ASU require an entity to present (either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes) the effects on the line items of net income of significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. In addition, the amendments require a cross-reference to other disclosures currently required for other reclassification items to be reclassified directly to net income in their entirety in the same reporting period. Companies should apply these amendments for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after December 15, 2012. The Company has included the required disclosures from ASU 2013-02 in the consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-10, "Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes." The amendments in this ASU permit the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (also referred to as the Overnight Index Swap Rate) to be used as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes under Topic 815, in addition to interest rates on direct Treasury obligations of the U.S. government and the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"). The amendments also remove the restriction on using different benchmark rates for similar hedges. The amendments apply to all entities that elect to apply hedge accounting of the benchmark interest rate under Topic 815. The amendments are effective prospectively for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. The adoption of ASU 2013-10 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, 'Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists.'' The amendments in this ASU provide guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, similar tax loss, or tax credit carryforward exists. An unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. Early adoption is permitted. The amendments should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Retrospective application is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU 2013-11 to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

#### 2. SECURITIES

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and estimated fair values of investment securities as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Amortized Gross Unrealized Cost Gains (Losses)					Estimated Fair Value
September 30, 2013						
U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 1,775	\$	856	\$	-	\$ 2,631
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	236,840		6,710		(6,309)	237,241
Corporate and other bonds	5,584		122		(162)	5,544
Mortgage-backed securities	338,011		4,792		(2,378)	340,425
Other securities	3,614		19		(37)	3,596
Total securities	\$ 585,824	\$	12,499	\$	(8,886)	\$ 589,437
December 31, 2012						
U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 2,581	\$	268	\$	-	\$ 2,849
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	214,980		15,123		(325)	229,778
Corporate and other bonds	7,353		173		(314)	7,212
Mortgage-backed securities	335,327		7,383		(536)	342,174
Other securities	3,277		92		-	3,369
Total securities	\$ 563,518	\$	23,039	\$	(1,175)	\$ 585,382

Due to restrictions placed upon the Company's common stock investment in the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond and Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ("FHLB"), these securities have been classified as restricted equity securities and carried at cost. These restricted securities are not subject to the investment security classifications and are included as a separate line item on the Company's balance sheet. The FHLB requires Union First Market Bank (the "Bank") to maintain stock in an amount equal to 4.5% of outstanding borrowings and a specific percentage of the Bank's total assets. The Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond requires the Company to maintain stock with a par value equal to 6% of its outstanding capital. Restricted equity securities consist of Federal Reserve Bank stock in the amount of \$6.8 million for both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and FHLB stock in the amount of \$12.8 million and \$13.9 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value (in thousands) of the Company's investments with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. These are aggregated by investment category and length of time that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position and are as follows:

	Less than	12 n	nonths	More than 12 months				Total			
	Fair	Ţ	Unrealized		Fair		Unrealized		Fair	J	nrealized
	Value		Losses Value		Losses		Value		Losses		
September 30, 2013											
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 67,957	\$	(6,242)	\$	435	\$	(67)	\$	68,392	\$	(6,309)
Mortgage-backed securities	130,984		(2,205)		12,840		(173)		143,824		(2,378)
Corporate bonds and other securities	2,876		(37)		1,710		(162)		4,586		(199)
Totals	\$ 201,817	\$	(8,484)	\$	14,985	\$	(402)	\$	216,802	\$	(8,886)
<u>December 31, 2012</u>											
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 22,397	\$	(283)	\$	649	\$	(42)	\$	23,046	\$	(325)
Mortgage-backed securities	86,183		(536)		-		-		86,183		(536)
Corporate bonds and other securities	-		-		1,555		(314)		1,555		(314)
Totals	\$ 108,580	\$	(819)	\$	2,204	\$	(356)	\$	110,784	\$	(1,175)

As of September 30, 2013, there were \$15.0 million, or 8 issues, of individual securities that had been in a continuous loss position for more than 12 months. Additionally, these securities had an unrealized loss of \$402,000 and consisted of municipal obligations, mortgage-backed securities, and corporate bonds.

The following table presents the amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, by contractual maturity (dollars in thousands). Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with, or without, call or prepayment penalties.

	<b>September 30, 2013</b>				December 31, 2012				
	Amortized		Estimated			Amortized		Estimated	
		Cost I		Fair Value	Cost			Fair Value	
Due in one year or less	\$	6,770	\$	6,816	\$	5,623	\$	5,741	
Due after one year through five years		17,347		18,074		16,413		17,016	
Due after five years through ten years		73,832		76,771		69,164		73,501	
Due after ten years		487,875		487,776		472,318		489,124	
Total securities available for sale	\$	585,824	\$	589,437	\$	563,518	\$	585,382	

Securities with an amortized cost of \$191.6 million and \$183.7 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits, repurchase agreements, and for other purposes.

During each quarter the Company conducts an assessment of the securities portfolio for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") consideration. The assessment considers factors such as external credit ratings, delinquency coverage ratios, market price, management's judgment, expectations of future performance, and relevant industry research and analysis. An impairment is other-than-temporary if any of the following conditions exist: the entity intends to sell the security; it is more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis; or the entity does not expect to recover the security's entire amortized cost basis (even if the entity does not intend to sell). If a credit loss exists, but an entity does not intend to sell the impaired debt security and is not more likely than not to be required to sell before recovery, the impairment is other-than-temporary and should be separated into a credit portion to be recognized in earnings and the remaining amount relating to all other factors recognized as other comprehensive loss. Based on the assessment for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, and in accordance with the guidance, no OTTI was recognized.

Based on the assessment for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 and in accordance with the guidance, the Company determined that a single issuer Trust Preferred security incurred credit-related OTTI of \$400,000, which was recognized in earnings for the quarter ended September 30, 2011. There is a possibility that the Company will sell the security before recovering all unamortized costs. The significant inputs the Company considered in determining the amount of the credit loss are as follows:

- · The assessment of security credit rating agencies and research performed by third parties;
- · The continued interest payment deferral by the issuer;
- · The lack of improving asset quality of the issuer and worsening economic conditions; and
- The security is thinly traded and trading at its historical low, below par.

OTTI recognized for the periods presented is summarized as follow (dollars in thousands):

	OTT	Losses
Cumulative credit losses on investment securities, through December 31, 2012	\$	400
Cumulative credit losses on investment securities		-
Additions for credit losses not previously recognized		-
Cumulative credit losses on investment securities, through September 30, 2013	\$	400

#### 3. LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Loans are stated at their face amount, net of unearned income, and consist of the following at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Commercial:		
Commercial Construction	\$ 219,154	\$ 202,344
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	507,646	513,671
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied	722,542	682,760
Raw Land and Lots	180,128	205,726
Single Family Investment Real Estate	235,754	233,395
Commercial and Industrial	205,103	217,661
Other Commercial	54,490	47,551
Consumer:		
Mortgage	223,987	220,567
Consumer Construction	45,861	33,969
Indirect Auto	175,034	157,518
Indirect Marine	38,788	36,586
HELOCs	279,439	288,092
Credit Card	21,978	21,968
Other Consumer	92,342	105,039
Total	\$ 3,002,246	\$ 2,966,847

The following table shows the aging of the Company's loan portfolio, by class, at September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	Days Due	9 Days st Due	90 I	ater Than Days and Accruing	Purchas Impaired of credit m	(net	Non	accrual	 Current	To	otal Loans
Commercial:											
Commercial Construction	\$ -	\$ 832	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,167	\$ 217,155	\$	219,154
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	2,016	-		261		208		3,784	501,377		507,646
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied	470	1,748		1,996		-		178	718,150		722,542
Raw Land and Lots	435	925		43	2	2,526		3,087	173,112		180,128
Single Family Investment Real Estate	1,597	274		548		297		2,076	230,962		235,754
Commercial and Industrial	1,054	508		245		-		6,675	196,621		205,103
Other Commercial	3	-		14		-		472	54,001		54,490
Consumer:											
Mortgage	5,317	1,192		1,311		-		801	215,366		223,987
Consumer Construction	-	-		208		-		225	45,428		45,861
Indirect Auto	1,468	110		409		9		-	173,038		175,034
Indirect Marine	62	-		-		-		469	38,257		38,788
HELOCs	1,861	630		1,216		811		665	274,256		279,439
Credit Card	227	68		299		-		-	21,384		21,978
Other Consumer	1,489	896		776		100		342	88,739		92,342
Total	\$ 15,999	\$ 7,183	\$	7,326	\$ 3	3,951	\$	19,941	\$ 2,947,846	\$	3,002,246

The following table shows the aging of the Company's loan portfolio, by class, at December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	30-59	) Davs	60-89	Days	ter Than Days and	Purcl Impair							
		Due	Past		Accruing	of credi				Current		To	tal Loans
Commercial:					 								
Commercial Construction	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	5,781	\$	196,563	\$	202,344
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied		2,105		153	1,711		247		2,206		507,249		513,671
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied		866		63	207		-		812		680,812		682,760
Raw Land and Lots		277		-	75		2,942		8,760		193,672		205,726
Single Family Investment Real Estate		1,819		261	756		326		3,420		226,813		233,395
Commercial and Industrial		506		270	441		79		2,036		214,329		217,661
Other Commercial		70		182	1		-		193		47,105		47,551
Consumer:													
Mortgage		5,610		2,244	3,017		-		747		208,949		220,567
Consumer Construction		157		-	-		-		235		33,577		33,969
Indirect Auto		2,504		276	329		21		-		154,388		157,518
Indirect Marine		67		-	114		-		158		36,247		36,586
HELOCs		3,063		640	1,239		845		1,325		280,980		288,092
Credit Card		269		101	397		-		-		21,201		21,968
Other Consumer		1,525		487	556		105		533		101,833		105,039
Total	\$	18,838	\$	4,677	\$ 8,843	\$	4,565	\$	26,206	\$	2,903,718	\$	2,966,847

Nonaccrual loans totaled \$19.9 million, \$26.2 million, and \$32.2 million at September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and September 30, 2012, respectively. There were no nonaccrual loans excluded from impaired loan disclosure in 2013 or 2012. Loans past due 90 days or more and accruing interest totaled \$7.3 million, \$8.8 million, and \$9.1 million at September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and September 30, 2012, respectively.

The following table shows purchased impaired commercial and consumer loan portfolios, by class and their delinquency status at September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	30-89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days		Current	Total
Commercial:					
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	\$ -	\$ 10	55 \$	43	\$ 208
Raw Land and Lots	-		-	2,526	2,526
Single Family Investment Real Estate	-		12	285	297
Consumer:					
Indirect Auto	-		-	9	9
HELOCs	-		32	779	811
Other Consumer	41		-	59	100
Total	\$ 41	\$ 20	)9 \$	3,701	\$ 3,951

The following table shows purchased impaired commercial and consumer loan portfolios, by class and their delinquency status at December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	30-89 Days Past Due		G	Freater than 90 Days	Current	Total
Commercial:				_	 	
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	\$	-	\$	193	\$ 54	\$ 247
Raw Land and Lots		-		81	2,861	2,942
Single Family Investment Real Estate		-		14	312	326
Commercial and Industrial		-		79	-	79
Consumer:						
Indirect Auto		3		2	16	21
HELOCs		-		51	794	845
Other Consumer		-		-	105	105
Total	\$	3	\$	420	\$ 4,142	\$ 4,565

The Company measures the amount of impairment by evaluating loans either in their collective homogeneous pools or individually. The following table shows the Company's impaired loans, by class, at September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

		ecorded vestment		Unpaid Principal Balance		Related llowance	]	YTD Average Investment		Interest Income Recognized
Loans without a specific allowance										U
Commercial:										
Commercial Construction	\$	24,390	\$	24,391	\$	-	\$	30,979	\$	559
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied		9,032		9,484		-		9,735		335
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied		9,203		9,290		-		9,430		285
Raw Land and Lots		37,054		37,309		-		38,451		1,074
Single Family Investment Real Estate		4,646		5,039		-		5,493		134
Commercial and Industrial		1,728		1,756		-		1,758		75
Other Commercial		3		3		-		23		-
Consumer:										
Mortgage		1,365		1,365		-		1,379		44
Indirect Auto		15		22		-		28		-
Indirect Marine		130		283		-		283		-
HELOCs		1,303		1,459		-		1,632		-
Other Consumer		359		535		-		536		-
Total impaired loans without a specific allowance	\$	89,228	\$	90,936	\$	-	\$	99,727	\$	2,506
Loans with a specific allowance										
Commercial:										
Commercial Construction	\$	438	\$	773	\$	177	\$	1,154	\$	7
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied		6,524		6,654		875		6,728		228
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied		1,735		1,783		276		1,805		77
Raw Land and Lots		2,718		3,024		165		3,164		74
Single Family Investment Real Estate		4,157		4,487		707		4,730		110
Commercial and Industrial		8,313		8,747		3,225		9,081		191
Other Commercial		656		668		182		671		7
Consumer:										
Mortgage		3,200		3,208		421		3,234		82
Consumer Construction		225		262		23		266		-
Indirect Marine		339		339		182		341		7
HELOCs		1,324		1,398		724		1,638		17
Other Consumer		390		424		104		434		11
Total impaired loans with a specific allowance	\$	30,019	\$	31,767	\$	7,061	\$	33,246	<u> </u>	811
Total impaired loans	\$	119,247	\$	122,703	\$	7,061	\$	132,973	\$	3,317
. Our impured found	<u> </u>	119,247	Φ	122,703	Ф	7,001	Ф	132,973	<del>D</del>	3,317

The following table shows the Company's impaired loans, by class, at December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	-		Unpaid			YTD		Interest
		ecorded vestment	Principal Balance	Related Allowance		Average Investment		Income Recognized
Loans without a specific allowance		vestment	Balance	 Miowanee	_	Investment	_	Recognized
Commercial:								
Commercial Construction	\$	28,212	\$ 28,696	\$ -	\$	28,925	\$	1,237
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied		13,573	13,665	-		14,579		787
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied		14,319	14,398	-		15,482		790
Raw Land and Lots		40,421	40,485	-		43,162		1,538
Single Family Investment Real Estate		5,487	6,185	-		7,031		253
Commercial and Industrial		2,201	2,232	-		2,757		154
Other Commercial		189	189	-		191		11
Consumer:								
Mortgage		857	857	-		892		43
Indirect Auto		35	42	-		56		-
Indirect Marine		158	283	-		283		3
HELOCs		1,592	1,748	-		1,802		6
Other Consumer		286	329	-		332		-
Total impaired loans without a specific allowance	\$	107,330	\$ 109,109	\$ -	\$	115,492	\$	4,822
Loans with a specific allowance								
Commercial:								
Commercial Construction	\$	4,057	\$ 4,104	\$ 643	\$	4,914	\$	177
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied		4,100	4,239	921		4,300		124
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied		15,084	15,121	848		15,209		851
Raw Land and Lots		10,715	10,953	2,472		11,741		190
Single Family Investment Real Estate		3,341	3,437	711		3,643		147
Commercial and Industrial		4,511	4,728	1,000		4,938		110
Other Commercial		714	722	153		686		33
Consumer:								
Mortgage		2,801	2,805	545		2,851		72
Consumer Construction		235	262	106		230		-
HELOCs		1,620	1,687	952		1,897		27
Other Consumer		867	910	273		916		17
Total impaired loans with a specific allowance	\$	48,045	\$ 48,968	\$ 8,624	\$	51,325	\$	1,748
Total impaired loans	\$	155,375	\$ 158,077	\$ 8,624	\$	166,817	\$	6,570

The Company considers troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") to be impaired loans. A modification of a loan's terms constitutes a TDR if the creditor grants a concession that it would not otherwise consider to the borrower for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties. Included in the impaired loan disclosures above are \$47.9 million and \$63.5 million of loans considered to be TDRs as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. All loans that are considered to be TDRs are evaluated for impairment in accordance with the Company's allowance for loan loss methodology.

The following table provides a summary, by class, of modified loans that continue to accrue interest under the terms of the restructuring agreement, which are considered to be performing, and modified loans that have been placed in nonaccrual status, which are considered to be nonperforming, as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2013						December 31, 2012						
	No. of	]	Recorded		Outstanding		No. of	Recorded		Outstanding			
	Loans	I	nvestment		Commitment		Loans	I	nvestment	(	Commitment		
Performing													
Commercial:													
Commercial Construction	1	\$	653	\$		-	5	\$	4,549	\$	73		
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	6		5,233			-	11		6,009		-		
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied	8		4,530			-	10		13,103		-		
Raw Land and Lots	15		20,807			-	13		22,886		-		
Single Family Investment Real Estate	13		3,517			-	6		928		-		
Commercial and Industrial	5		1,172			-	5		1,041		-		
Other Commercial	-		-			-	1		236		-		
Consumer:													
Mortgage	15		3,123			-	12		2,256		-		
Other Consumer	3		252			-	4		460		-		
Total performing	66	\$	39,287	\$		_	67	\$	51,468	\$	73		
Nonperforming Commercial:													
Commercial Construction	3	\$	794	\$		_	4		4,260		_		
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	5		1,216			_	3		1,079		-		
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied	-		-			-	2		514		-		
Raw Land and Lots	2		3,987			-	2		4,032		-		
Single Family Investment Real Estate	2		406			-	2		427		-		
Commercial and Industrial	10		1,216			-	7		1,251		-		
Consumer:													
Mortgage	2		801			-	1		202		-		
Indirect Marine	1		130			-	1		158		-		
Other Consumer	1		63			-	1		68		-		
Total nonperforming	26	\$	8,613	\$		=	23	\$	11,991	\$	_		
Total performing and nonperforming	92	\$	47,900	\$		-	90	\$	63,459	\$	73		
roun partarining and non-partarining	72	Ψ	77,700	Ψ		_	90	φ	03,439	ψ			

The Company considers a default of a restructured loan to occur when the borrower is 90 days past due following the restructure or a foreclosure and repossession of the applicable collateral occurs. During the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company did not identify any restructured loans that went into default that had been restructured in the twelve-month period prior to the time of default. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company identified one loan that had been restructured in the prior twelve-month period and then went into default. This loan totaled approximately \$43,000 and was a raw land and lot loan which was modified to an interest only loan with a market rate of interest. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company identified three restructured loans, totaling approximately \$1.4 million that went into default that had been restructured in the twelve-month period prior to the time of default. All three loans had a term extension at a market rate.

The following table shows, by class and modification type, TDRs that occurred during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	Three m Septemb		Nine mor		
	No. of Loans	Recorded investment at period end	No. of Loans	in	Recorded vestment at period end
Modified to interest only, at a market rate					
Commercial:					
Raw Land and Lots	-	\$ -	1	\$	43
Consumer:					
Mortgage	1	139	2		738
Total interest only at market rate of interest	1	\$ 139	3	\$	781
Term modification, at a market rate					
Commercial:					
Commercial Construction	-	\$ -	2	\$	545
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	1	167	2		1,093
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied	-	-	1		749
Raw Land and Lots	-	-	3		382
Single Family Investment Real Estate	-	-	7		2,499
Commercial and Industrial	-	-	4		613
Consumer:					
Mortgage	-	-	2		686
Total loan term extended at a market rate	1	\$ 167	21	\$	6,567
Term modification, below market rate					
Commercial:					
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	-	\$ -	1	\$	149
Commercial and Industrial	-	-	1		8
Consumer:					
Mortgage	-	-	1		154
Total loan term extended at a below market rate	-	\$ _	3	\$	311
Total	2	\$ 306	27	\$	7,659

The following table shows, by class and modification type, TDRs that occurred during the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

		onths ended per 30, 2012		Nine moi Septembe		
	No. of Loans	Recorded investment a period end	t -	No. of Loans	inv	Recorded estment at eriod end
Modified to interest only, at a market rate						
Commercial:						
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied	-	\$	-	1	\$	309
Raw Land and Lots	-		-	3		260
Single Family Investment Real Estate	-		-	2		176
Consumer:						
Indirect Marine				1		283
Total interest only at market rate of interest		\$		7	\$	1,028
Term modification, at a market rate						
Commercial:						
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	-	\$	-	3	\$	1,809
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied	2	7	720	2		720
Raw Land and Lots	-		-	1		603
Commercial and Industrial	1	1	15	6		432
Consumer:						
Mortgage	-		-	2		472
Other Consumer	-		-	3		282
Total loan term extended at a market rate	3	\$	335	17	\$	4,318
Term modification, below market rate						
Commercial:						
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	-	\$	-	4	\$	654
Raw Land and Lots	1		60	1		60
Consumer:						
Other Consumer	1		69	1		69
Total loan term extended at a below market rate	2	\$	.29	6	\$	783
Interest rate modification, below market rate						
Commercial:						
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied		\$		2	\$	2,390
Total interest only at below market rate of interest		\$	-	2	\$	2,390
Total	5		064	32	\$	8,519
	- 16 -					

The following table shows the allowance for loan loss ("ALL") activity, by portfolio segment, balances for allowance for credit losses, and loans based on impairment methodology for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories (dollars in thousands):

	Co	mmercial	C	Consumer	U	nallocated	Total
Allowance for loan losses:				,		,	
Balance, beginning of the year	\$	24,821	\$	10,107	\$	(12)	\$ 34,916
Recoveries credited to allowance		1,051		841		-	1,892
Loans charged off		(4,775)		(3,006)		-	(7,781)
Provision charged to operations		3,200		1,741		(91)	4,850
Balance, end of period	\$	24,297	\$	9,683	\$	(103)	\$ 33,877
		<del></del>	_	<u> </u>	-		<del></del>
Ending Balance, ALL:							
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	5,607	\$	1,454	\$	-	\$ 7,061
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment		18,690		8,229		(103)	26,816
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality		-		-		-	-
Total	\$	24,297	\$	9,683	\$	(103)	\$ 33,877
Ending Balance, Loans:							
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	107,566	\$	7,730	\$	-	\$ 115,296
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment		2,014,220		868,779		-	2,882,999
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality		3,031		920		-	3,951
Total	\$	2,124,817	\$	877,429	\$	_	\$ 3,002,246

The following table shows the allowance for loan loss activity, portfolio segment types, balances for allowance for loan losses, and loans based on impairment methodology for the year ended December 31, 2012. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories (dollars in thousands):

	Co	mmercial		Consumer		Unallocated		Total
Allowance for loan losses:			,					
Balance, beginning of the year	\$	27,891	\$	11,498	\$	81	\$	39,470
Recoveries credited to allowance		589		1,122		-		1,711
Loans charged off		(12,852)		(5,613)		-		(18,465)
Provision charged to operations		9,193		3,100		(93)		12,200
Balance, end of period	\$	24,821	\$	10,107	\$	(12)	\$	34,916
					_	`	_	
Ending Balance, ALL:								
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	6,626	\$	1,876	\$	-	\$	8,502
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment		18,073		8,231		(12)		26,292
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality		122		-		-		122
Total	\$	24,821	\$	10,107	\$	(12)	\$	34,916
Ending Balance, Loans:								
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	143,330	\$	7,480	\$	-	\$	150,810
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment		1,956,184		855,288		-		2,811,472
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality		3,594		971		-		4,565
Total	\$	2,103,108	\$	863,739	\$		\$	2,966,847

The following table shows the allowance for loan loss activity, portfolio segment types, balances for allowance for loan losses, and loans based on impairment methodology for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude its availability to absorb losses in other categories (dollars in thousands):

	Co	mmercial	(	Consumer		Unallocated		Total
Allowance for loan losses:								
Balance, beginning of the year	\$	27,891	\$	11,498	\$	81	\$	39,470
Recoveries credited to allowance		490		881		-		1,371
Loans charged off		(5,956)		(3,891)		-		(9,847)
Provision charged to operations		7,301		1,626		(27)		8,900
Balance, end of period	\$	29,726	\$	10,114	\$	54	\$	39,894
			_		_		_	
Ending Balance, ALL:								
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	12,197	\$	1,509	\$	-	\$	13,706
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment		17,400		8,605		54		26,059
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality		129		-		-		129
Total	\$	29,726	\$	10,114	\$	54	\$	39,894
Ending Balance, Loans:								
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	163,088	\$	8,344	\$	-	\$	171,432
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment		1,886,021		844,620		-		2,730,641
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality		5,431		1,006		-		6,437
Total	\$	2,054,540	\$	853,970	\$	-	\$	2,908,510

The Company uses the past due status and trends as the primary credit quality indicator for the consumer loan portfolio segment while a risk rating system is utilized for commercial loans. Commercial loans are graded on a scale of 1 through 9. A general description of the characteristics of the risk grades follows:

- · Risk rated 1 loans have little or no risk and are generally secured by cash or cash equivalents;
- · Risk rated 2 loans have minimal risk to well qualified borrowers and no significant questions as to safety;
- · Risk rated 3 loans are satisfactory loans with strong borrowers and secondary sources of repayment;
- · Risk rated 4 loans are satisfactory loans with borrowers not as strong as risk rated 3 loans and may exhibit a greater degree of financial risk based on the type of business supporting the loan;
- · Risk rated 5 loans are watch loans that warrant more than the normal level of supervision and have the possibility of an event occurring that may weaken the borrower's ability to repay;
- Risk rated 6 loans have increasing potential weaknesses beyond those at which the loan originally was granted and if not addressed could lead to inadequately protecting the Company's credit position;
- Risk rated 7 loans are substandard loans and are inadequately protected by the current sound worth or paying capacity of the obligor or the collateral pledged; these have well defined weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt with the distinct possibility the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected;
- · Risk rated 8 loans are doubtful of collection and the possibility of loss is high but pending specific borrower plans for recovery, its classification as a loss is deferred until its more exact status is determined; and
- Risk rated 9 loans are loss loans which are considered uncollectable and of such little value that their continuance as bankable assets is not warranted.

The following table shows all loans, excluding purchased impaired loans, in the commercial portfolios by class with their related risk rating current as of September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	1	1-3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Commercial Construction	\$	14,099	\$ 136,829	\$ 20,173	\$ 27,086	\$ 20,967	\$ -	\$ 219,154
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied		144,163	329,979	11,605	11,582	10,109	-	507,438
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied		216,461	433,619	23,638	40,555	8,269	-	722,542
Raw Land and Lots		4,631	104,750	6,172	29,319	32,730	-	177,602
Single Family Investment Real Estate		38,253	165,214	10,298	14,917	6,775	-	235,457
Commercial and Industrial		60,381	122,780	6,493	5,808	4,285	5,356	205,103
Other Commercial		18,563	23,019	8,496	3,161	1,200	51	54,490
Total	\$	496,551	\$ 1,316,190	\$ 86,875	\$ 132,428	\$ 84,335	\$ 5,407	\$ 2,121,786

The following table shows all loans, excluding purchased impaired loans, in the commercial portfolios by class with their related risk rating current as of December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	1-3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Commercial Construction	\$ 5,504	\$ 117,769	\$ 14,637	\$ 33,815	\$ 30,619	\$ -	\$ 202,344
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	145,977	321,486	15,197	19,051	11,713	-	513,424
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied	161,343	417,412	48,840	34,646	20,519	-	682,760
Raw Land and Lots	3,943	114,053	13,260	29,194	42,148	186	202,784
Single Family Investment Real Estate	43,705	156,636	12,111	13,150	7,467	-	233,069
Commercial and Industrial	68,308	120,442	10,584	12,064	6,045	139	217,582
Other Commercial	14,189	18,260	10,710	3,489	844	59	47,551
Total	\$ 442,969	\$ 1,266,058	\$ 125,339	\$ 145,409	\$ 119,355	\$ 384	\$ 2,099,514

The following table shows only purchased impaired loans in the commercial portfolios by class with their related risk rating and credit quality indicator information current as of September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	4		5		6		7	8	Total		
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	\$ 	\$		\$		\$	208	\$ 	\$	208	
Raw Land and Lots	-		671		-		1,855	-		2,526	
Single Family Investment Real Estate	285		-		-		12	-		297	
Total	\$ 285	\$	671	\$		\$	2,075	\$ 	\$	3,031	

The following table shows only purchased impaired loans in the commercial portfolios by class with their related risk rating and credit quality indicator information current as of December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	5		6	7	8	Total
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	\$	_	\$ 	\$ 247	\$ 	\$ 247
Raw Land and Lots		-	-	2,942	-	2,942
Single Family Investment Real Estate		312	-	14	-	326
Commercial and Industrial		-	-	79	-	79
Total	\$	312	\$ -	\$ 3,282	\$ 	\$ 3,594

Loans acquired are originally recorded at fair value, with certain loans being identified as impaired at the date of purchase. The fair values were determined based on the credit quality of the portfolio, expected future cash flows, and timing of those expected future cash flows.

The following shows changes in the Company's acquired loan portfolio and accretable yield for the following periods (dollars in thousands):

		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013									For		onths Ended 30, 2012			
		Purchased	Impa	ired	P	urchased N	loni	mpaired		Purchased	Imp	aired	P	urchased N	loni	mpaired
	Carrying Accretable Amount of Accretable Loans		Carrying Accretable Amount of Yield Loans				cretable Yield	An	nrrying nount of Loans	Accretable Yield			Carrying mount of Loans			
Balance at beginning of period	\$	3,147	\$	4,565	\$	5,350	\$	473,283	\$	5,140	\$	9,897	\$	9,010	\$	663,510
Additions		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Accretion		-		-		(1,570)		-		(55)		-		(2,960)		-
Charge-offs		(54)		(96)		-		(1,002)		(1,602)		(397)		-		(1,551)
Transfers to OREO		-		(201)		-		(207)		-		(2,371)		-		(2,766)
Payments received, net		-		(317)		-		(79,841)		-		(692)		-		(155,947)
Balance at end of period	\$	3,093	\$	3,951	\$	3,780	\$	392,233	\$	3,483	\$	6,437	\$	6,050	\$	503,246

#### 4. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The Company's intangible assets consist of core deposits, trademarks, and goodwill arising from previous acquisitions. The Company has determined that core deposit intangibles and trademarks have a finite life and amortizes them over their estimated useful life. Core deposit intangible assets are being amortized over the period of expected benefit, which ranges from 4 to 14 years, using an accelerated method. The trademark intangible, acquired through previous acquisitions, was amortized over three years using the straight-line method. In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 350, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other ("ASC 350"), the Company reviews the carrying value of indefinite lived intangible assets at least annually or more frequently if certain impairment indicators exist. Based on the annual testing during the second quarter of each year and the absence of impairment indicators subsequent to the evaluation date, the Company has recorded no impairment charges to date for goodwill or intangible assets.

Information concerning intangible assets with a finite life is presented in the following table (dollars in thousands):

	Gro	ss Carrying Value	 cumulated nortization	 Net Carrying Value
<u>September 30, 2013</u>				 
Amortizable core deposit intangibles	\$	46,615	\$ 33,715	\$ 12,900
Trademark intangible		1,200	1,200	-
December 31, 2012  Amortizable core deposit intangibles  Trademark intangible	\$	46,615 1,200	\$ 30,837 1,167	\$ 15,778 33
<u>September 30, 2012</u>				
Amortizable core deposit intangibles	\$	46,615	\$ 29,649	\$ 16,966
Trademark intangible		1,200	1,067	133

Amortization expense of core deposit intangibles for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 totaled \$921,000 and \$2.9 million and \$1.2 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, and for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$4.9 million. Amortization expense of the trademark intangibles for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$0 and \$33,000 and \$100,000 and \$300,000, respectively, and for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$400,000. As of September 30, 2013, the estimated remaining amortization expense of core deposit intangibles is as follows (dollars in thousands):

For the remaining three months of 2013	\$ 919
For the year ending December 31, 2014	2,898
For the year ending December 31, 2015	2,463
For the year ending December 31, 2016	1,862
For the year ending December 31, 2017	1,437
For the year ending December 31, 2018	906
Thereafter	2,415
	\$ 12,900

#### 5. BORROWINGS

#### Short-term Borrowings

Total short-term borrowings consist of securities sold under agreements to repurchase, which are secured transactions with customers and generally mature the day following the date sold. Also included in total short-term borrowings are federal funds purchased, which are secured overnight borrowings from other financial institutions, and short-term FHLB advances. Total short-term borrowings consist of the following as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Sep	September 30, 2013				
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	\$	79,202	\$	54,270		
Other short-term borrowings		72,000		78,000		
Total short-term borrowings	\$	151,202	\$	132,270		
Maximum month-end outstanding balance	\$	151,202	\$	154,116		
Average outstanding balance during the period		104,364		91,993		
Average interest rate during the period		0.30%		0.31%		
Average interest rate at end of period		0.28%		0.28%		
Other short-term borrowings:						
Federal Funds purchased	\$	37,000	\$	38,000		
FHLB	\$	35,000	\$	40,000		

The Bank maintains federal funds lines with several correspondent banks; the remaining available balance was \$88.0 million and \$87.0 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company has certain restrictive covenants related to certain asset quality, capital, and profitability metrics associated with these lines and is considered to be in compliance with these covenants. Additionally, the Company had a collateral dependent line of credit with the FHLB of up to \$808.4 million and \$802.2 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

#### Long-term Borrowings

In connection with two bank acquisitions prior to 2006, the Company issued trust preferred capital notes to fund the cash portion of those acquisitions, collectively totaling \$58.5 million. The trust preferred capital notes currently qualify for Tier 1 capital of the Company for regulatory purposes.

				Spreau to		
	Principal	Ir	ivestment <sup>(1)</sup>	3-Month LIBOR	Rate	Maturity
Trust Preferred Capital Note - Statutory Trust I	\$ 22,500,000	\$	696,000	2.75%	3.00%	6/17/2034
Trust Preferred Capital Note - Statutory Trust II	36,000,000		1,114,000	1.40%	1.65%	6/15/2036
Total	\$ 58,500,000					

#### (1) reported as 'Other Assets' within the Consolidated Balance Sheets

As part of a prior acquisition, the Company assumed subordinated debt with terms of LIBOR plus 1.45% and a maturity date of April 2016. At September 30, 2013, the carrying value of the subordinated debt, net of the purchase accounting discount, was \$16.2 million.

On August 23, 2012, the Company modified its fixed rate FHLB advances to floating rate advances which resulted in reducing the Company's FHLB borrowing costs. In connection with this modification, the Company incurred a prepayment penalty of \$19.6 million on the original advances, which is included as a component of long-term borrowings in the Company's consolidated balance sheet. In accordance with ASC 470-50, *Modifications and Extinguishments*, the Company will amortize this prepayment penalty over the term of the modified advances using the effective rate method. The amortization expense is included as a component of interest expense on long-term borrowings in the Company's consolidated income statement. Amortization expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$441,000 and \$1.3 million, respectively, and \$0 for both three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

As of September 30, 2013, the advances from the FHLB consist of the following (dollars in thousands):

	Spread to	Interest	Maturity	Conversion	Option	A	Advance
Long Term Type	3-Month LIBOR	Rate	Date	Date	Frequency	A	Amount
Adjustable Rate Credit	0.44%	0.69%	8/23/2022	n/a	n/a	\$	55,000
Adjustable Rate Credit	0.45%	0.70%	11/23/2022	n/a	n/a		65,000
Adjustable Rate Credit	0.45%	0.70%	11/23/2022	n/a	n/a		10,000
Adjustable Rate Credit	0.45%	0.70%	11/23/2022	n/a	n/a		10,000
						\$	140,000

As of December 31, 2012, the advances from the FHLB consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

	Spread to	Interest	Maturity	Conversion	Option	A	Advance
Long Term Type	3-Month LIBOR	Rate	Date	Date	Frequency	A	Amount
Adjustable Rate Credit	0.44%	0.75%	8/23/2022	n/a	n/a	\$	55,000
Adjustable Rate Credit	0.45%	0.76%	11/23/2022	n/a	n/a		65,000
Adjustable Rate Credit	0.45%	0.76%	11/23/2022	n/a	n/a		10,000
Adjustable Rate Credit	0.45%	0.76%	11/23/2022	n/a	n/a		10,000
						\$	140,000

The carrying value of the loans and securities pledged as collateral for FHLB advances totaled \$1.1 billion and \$1.0 billion as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

As of September 30, 2013, the contractual maturities of long-term debt are as follows for the years ending (dollars in thousands):

	Sub	ordinated Debt	FHLB Advances	Prepayment Penalty	7	Fotal Long-term Borrowings
Remaining three months in 2013	\$	-	\$ -	\$ (444)	\$	(444)
2014		-	-	(1,787)		(1,787)
2015		-	-	(1,831)		(1,831)
2016		16,237	-	(1,882)		14,355
2017		-	-	(1,923)		(1,923)
2018		-	-	(1,969)		(1,969)
Thereafter		-	140,000	(7,918)		132,082
Total long-term borrowings	\$	16,237	\$ 140,000	\$ (17,754)	\$	138,483

#### 6. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### Litigation Matters

In the ordinary course of its operations, the Company and its subsidiaries are parties to various legal proceedings. Based on the information presently available, and after consultation with legal counsel, management believes that the ultimate outcome in such proceedings, in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the business or the financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

#### <u>Litigation Relating to the StellarOne Acquisition</u>

In a joint press release issued on June 10, 2013, the Company announced the signing of a definitive merger agreement for the acquisition of StellarOne Corporation ("StellarOne"). The Company expects to close the merger on or around January 1, 2014, subject to customary closing conditions, including shareholder approval. On June 14, 2013, Jaclyn Crescente, individually and on behalf of all other StellarOne shareholders, filed a class action complaint against StellarOne, its current directors, StellarOne Bank, and the Company, in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Virginia, Charlottesville Division (Case No. 3:13-cv-00021-NKM). The complaint alleges that the StellarOne directors breached their fiduciary duties by approving the merger with the Company and that the Company aided and abetted in such breaches of duty. The complaint seeks, among other things, an order enjoining the defendants from proceeding with or consummating the merger, as well as other equitable relief and/or money damages in the event that the transaction is completed. StellarOne and the Company believe that the claims are without merit.

#### Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers and to reduce its own exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments involve elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The contractual amounts of these instruments reflect the extent of the Company's involvement in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instruments for commitments to extend credit and letters of credit written is represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. Unless noted otherwise, the Company does not require collateral or other security to support off-balance sheet financial instruments with credit risk.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to customers as long as there are no violations of any conditions established in the contracts. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Because many of the commitments may expire without being completely drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Letters of credit written are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of customers to third parties. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers.

Union Mortgage Group, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Bank, uses rate lock commitments during the origination process and for loans held for sale. These commitments to sell loans are designed to mitigate the mortgage company's exposure to fluctuations in interest rates in connection with rate lock commitments and loans held for sale. At September 30, 2013, the Company held approximately \$1.9 million of the loans available for sale in which the related rate lock commitment had expired; accordingly, a valuation adjustment of \$120,000 was recorded to properly reflect the lower of cost or market value of these loans. This valuation adjustment was recorded within the mortgage segment; there was no valuation adjustment recorded in the prior year.

The following table presents the balances of commitments and contingencies (dollars in thousands):

	Sep	otember 30, 2013	De	ecember 31, 2012
Commitments with off-balance sheet risk:				
Commitments to extend credit (1)	\$	933,081	\$	844,766
Standby letters of credit		55,949		45,536
Mortgage loan rate lock commitments		77,053		133,326
Total commitments with off-balance sheet risk	\$	1,066,083	\$	1,023,628
Commitments with balance sheet risk:	·			<u></u>
Loans held for sale	\$	58,179	\$	167,698
Total other commitments	\$	1,124,262	\$	1,191,326

(1) Includes unfunded overdraft protection.

The Company must maintain a reserve against its deposits in accordance with Regulation D of the Federal Reserve Act. For the final weekly reporting period in the periods ended September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the aggregate amount of daily average required reserves was approximately \$15.4 million and \$14.2 million, respectively.

The Company has approximately \$8.6 million in deposits in other financial institutions, of which \$3.4 million serves as collateral for the trust swap further discussed in Note 7 "Derivatives." The Dodd-Frank Act, which was signed into law on July 21, 2010, provided unlimited deposit insurance coverage for transaction accounts, but such provision expired on December 31, 2012. As of January 1, 2013, the deposit insurance coverage for transaction accounts is up to at least \$250,000. The Company had approximately \$4.5 million in deposits in other financial institutions that were uninsured at September 30, 2013. On an annual basis, the Company's management evaluates the loss risk of its uninsured deposits in financial counter-parties.

For asset/liability management purposes, the Company uses interest rate swap agreements to hedge various exposures or to modify the interest rate characteristics of various balance sheet accounts. See Note 7 "Derivatives" in these "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements" for additional information.

Union Mortgage Group, Inc. has identified errors with respect to Truth in Lending Act disclosures made to certain customers during the period from November 2011 through August 2013 in connection with certain loans originated pursuant to insured loan programs administered by the United States Department of Agriculture and Federal Housing Administration. These disclosure errors understated to the borrowers the amount of mortgage insurance premiums that were required to be assessed over the life of the loans under guidelines enacted by these loan programs. The Company has, however, taken certain remedial action with respect to the affected borrowers to address the disclosure errors as permitted under applicable law. Virtually all of these loans were sold to third parties prior to the identification of the errors. Under the terms of the purchase agreements entered into in connection with the sale of such loans, amongst other remedies, these third parties have the right to require the Company to repurchase any such loans because of the errors. The Company is in the process of assessing whether these errors will have an impact on its financial statements and has concluded that not all of the relevant facts are available in order to reasonably estimate potential liability, if any. In the ordinary course of business, the Company records an indemnification reserve relating to mortgage loans previously sold based on historical statistics and loss rates. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company's indemnification reserve was \$564,000 and \$446,000, respectively.

#### 7. DERIVATIVES

During the second quarter of 2010, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement (the "trust swap") as part of the management of interest rate risk. The Company designated the trust swap as a cash flow hedge intended to protect against the variability of cash flows associated with the aforementioned Statutory Trust II preferred capital securities. The trust swap hedges the interest rate risk, wherein the Company receives interest of LIBOR from a counterparty and pays a fixed rate of 3.51% to the same counterparty calculated on a notional amount of \$36.0 million. The term of the trust swap is six years with a fixed rate that started June 15, 2011. The trust swap was entered into with a counterparty that met the Company's credit standards and the agreement contains collateral provisions protecting the at-risk party. The Company believes that the credit risk inherent in the contract is not significant. At September 30, 2013, the Company pledged \$3.4 million of cash as collateral for the trust swap.

During the third quarter of 2013, the Company entered into eight interest rate swap agreements (the "prime loan swaps") as part of the management of interest rate risk. The Company designated the prime loan swaps as cash flow hedges intended to protect the Company against the variability in the expected future cash flows on the designated variable rate loan products. The prime loan swaps hedge the underlying cash flows, wherein the Company receives a fixed interest rate ranging from 4.71% to 6.09% from counterparty and pays interest based on the Wall Street Journal prime index, with a spread of up to 1%, to the same counterparty calculated on a notional amount of \$100.0 million. Four of the eight prime loan swaps contain floor rates ranging from 4.00% to 5.00%. The term of the prime loan swaps is six years with a fixed rate that started September 17, 2013. The prime loan swaps were entered into with a counterparty that met the Company's credit standards and the agreement contains collateral provision protecting the at-risk party. The Company believes that the credit risk inherent in the contract is not significant. At September 30, 2013, the Company pledged securities with a market value of \$5.8 million as collateral for the prime loan swaps.

Amounts receivable or payable are recognized as accrued under the terms of the agreements. In accordance with ASC 815 Derivatives and Hedging, the Company has designated the previously discussed derivatives as cash flow hedges, with the effective portions of the derivatives' unrealized gains or losses recorded as a component of other comprehensive income. The ineffective portions of the unrealized gains or losses, if any, would be recorded in other expense. The Company has assessed the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in cash flows on the designated hedged item. The Company's cash flow hedges are deemed to be effective. At September 30, 2013, the fair value of the Company's cash flow hedges was an unrealized loss of \$2.7 million, the amount the Company would have expected to pay if the contract was terminated. The below asset and liability are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income recorded in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

Shown below is a summary of the derivatives designated as cash flow hedges at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Positions	Notional Amount		Asset		Liability	Receive Rate	Pay Rate	Life (Years)
As of September 30, 2013			·				<u> </u>		
Pay fixed - receive floating interest rate swaps	1	\$ 36,000	\$	-	\$	3,336	0.25%	3.51%	3.71
Receive fixed - pay floating interest rate swaps	8	\$ 100,000	\$	672	\$	-	5.17%*	3.89%*	5.97
	Positions	Notional Amount		Asset		Liability	Receive Rate	Pay Rate	Life (Years)
As of December 31, 2012									
Pay fixed - receive floating interest rate swaps	1	\$ 36,000	\$		-	\$ 4,489	0.31%	3.51%	4.46

<sup>\*</sup>This receive rate is a weighted average rate for the 8 loan swaps that have a receive rate range from 4.71% to 6.09%. The pay rate is a weighted average rate taking into consideration the floor rates discussed above.

During the normal course of business, the Company enters into interest rate swap loan relationships ("loan swaps") with borrowers to meet their financing needs. Upon entering into the loan swaps, the Company enters into offsetting positions with counterparties in order to minimize interest rate risk. These back-to-back loan swaps qualify as financial derivatives with fair values reported in other assets and other liabilities. Shown below is a summary regarding loan swap derivative activities at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

		ľ	Notional			Receive	Pay	Life
	<b>Positions</b>	1	Amount	Asset	Liability	Rate	Rate	(Years)
As of September 30, 2013								
Receive fixed - pay floating interest rate swaps	1	\$	724	\$ 21	\$ -	4.58%	2.93%	8.84
Pay fixed - receive floating interest rate swaps	1	\$	724	\$ -	\$ 21	2.93%	4.58%	8.84

		N	otional			Receive	Pay	Life
	Positions	A	mount	Asset	Liability	Rate	Rate	(Years)
As of December 31, 2012								
Receive fixed - pay floating interest rate swaps	1	\$	744	\$ 18	\$ -	4.58%	2.96%	9.59
Pay fixed - receive floating interest rate swaps	1	\$	744	\$ -	\$ 18	2.96%	4.58%	9.59

#### 8. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The change in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 are summarized as follows, net of tax (dollars in thousands):

	Gains	ealized (losses) curities	F	Change in V of Cash ow Hedge		Total
Balance - June 30, 2013	\$	2,719	\$	(3,354)	\$	(635)
Other comprehensive income (loss)		(393)		454		61
Reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income		(3)		-		(3)
Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)		(396)		454		58
Balance - September 30, 2013	\$	2,323	\$	(2,900)	\$	(577)
		ealized (losses)		Change in V of Cash		
		,	_			Total
Balance - December 31, 2012		curities	_	ow Hedge	S	Total
Balance - December 31, 2012 Other comprehensive income (loss)		,	_		\$	Total 10,084 (10,631)
,		curities 14,573	_	ow Hedge (4,489)	\$	10,084
Other comprehensive income (loss)		14,573 (12,220)	_	ow Hedge (4,489)	\$	10,084 (10,631)

The change in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 are summarized as follows net of tax (dollars in thousands):

	Unrealized Gains (losses) on Securities	Change in FV of Cash Flow Hedge	Total
Balance - June 30, 2012	\$ 15,593	\$ (4,583)	\$ 11,010
Other comprehensive income (loss)	2,175	(202)	1,973
Reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income	1	-	1
Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)	2,176	(202)	1,974
Balance - September 30, 2012	\$ 17,769	\$ (4,785)	\$ 12,984
	Unrealized Gains (losses) on Securities	Change in FV of Cash Flow Hedge	Total
Balance - December 31, 2011	Gains (losses) on Securities	FV of Cash Flow Hedge	\$ <b>Total</b> 9,650
Balance - December 31, 2011 Other comprehensive income (loss)	Gains (losses)	FV of Cash Flow Hedge \$ (4,293)	\$ <b>Total</b> 9,650 3,337
,	Gains (losses) on Securities \$ 13,943	FV of Cash Flow Hedge \$ (4,293) (492)	\$ 9,650
Other comprehensive income (loss)	Gains (losses) on Securities \$ 13,943 3,829	FV of Cash Flow Hedge \$ (4,293) (492)	\$ 9,650 3,337

Reclassifications of unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale ("AFS") securities are reported in the income statement as "Gains on securities transactions, net" with the corresponding income tax effect being reflected as a component of income tax expense. The Company reported gains of \$5,000 and \$47,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, and a loss of \$1,000 and a gain of \$4,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, related to gains/losses on the sale of securities. The tax effect of these transactions during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$2,000 and \$17,000 and \$0 and \$1,000, respectively, which were included as a component of income tax expense.

#### 9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The Company follows ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, ("ASC 820") to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. This codification clarifies that fair value of certain assets and liabilities is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between willing market participants.

ASC 820 specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company's market assumptions. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under ASC 820 based on these two types of inputs are as follows:

Level 2 Valuation is based on observable inputs including quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in less active markets, and model-based valuation techniques for which significant assumptions can be derived primarily from or corroborated by observable data in the markets.

Level 3 Valuation is based on model-based techniques that use one or more significant inputs or assumptions that are unobservable in the market. These unobservable inputs reflect the Company's assumptions about what market participants would use and information that is reasonably available under the circumstances without undue cost and effort.

The following describes the valuation techniques used by the Company to measure certain financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis in the financial statements.

#### **Derivative instruments**

As discussed in Note 7 "Derivatives," the Company records derivative instruments at fair value on a recurring basis. The Company utilizes derivative instruments as part of the management of interest rate risk to modify the repricing characteristics of certain portions of the Company's interest-bearing assets and liabilities. The Company has contracted with a third party vendor to provide valuations for derivatives using standard valuation techniques and therefore classifies such valuations as Level 2. Third party valuations are validated by the Company using Bloomberg Valuation Service's derivative pricing functions. The Company has considered counterparty credit risk in the valuation of its derivative assets and has considered its own credit risk in the valuation of its derivative liabilities.

#### Securities available for sale

Securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted market prices, when available (Level 1). If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are measured utilizing independent valuation techniques of identical or similar securities for which significant assumptions are derived primarily from or corroborated by observable market data (Level 2). If the inputs used to provide the evaluation for certain securities are unobservable and/or there is little, if any, market activity then the security would fall to the lowest level of the hierarchy (Level 3).

The Company's investment portfolio is primarily valued using fair value measurements that are considered to be Level 2. The Company has contracted with a third party portfolio accounting service vendor for valuation of its securities portfolio. The vendor's primary source for security valuation is Interactive Data Corporation ("IDC"), which evaluates securities based on market data. IDC utilizes evaluated pricing models that vary by asset class and include available trade, bid, and other market information. Generally, the methodology includes broker quotes, proprietary models, vast descriptive terms and conditions databases, as well as extensive quality control programs.

The vendor utilizes proprietary valuation matrices for valuing all municipals securities. The initial curves for determining the price, movement, and yield relationships within the municipal matrices are derived from industry benchmark curves or sourced from a municipal trading desk. The securities are further broken down according to issuer, credit support, state of issuance, and rating to incorporate additional spreads to the industry benchmark curves.

The Company uses Bloomberg Valuation Service, an independent information source that draws on quantitative models and market data contributed from over 4,000 market participants, to validate third party valuations. Any material differences between valuation sources are researched by further analyzing the various inputs that are utilized by each pricing source. No material differences were identified during our validation as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

The carrying value of restricted Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond and FHLB stock approximates fair value based on the redemption provisions of each entity and is therefore excluded from the following table.

The following table presents the balances of financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	 Fair Valu	e M	easurements at	Sept	ember 30, 2013 us	ing	,	
	 Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	_	Significant Other Observable Inputs		Significant Unobservable Inputs			
A COPPEC	 Level 1	_	Level 2		Level 3	_		Balance
<u>ASSETS</u>								
Interest rate swap - loans	\$ -	\$	21	\$		-	\$	21
Cash flow hedge - prime loan swap	-		672			-		672
Securities available for sale:								
U.S. government and agency securities	-		2,631			-		2,631
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	-		237,241			-		237,241
Corporate and other bonds	-		5,544			-		5,544
Mortgage-backed securities	-		340,425			-		340,425
Other securities	-		3,596			-		3,596
<u>LIABILITIES</u>								
Interest rate swap - loans	\$ -	\$	21	\$		-	\$	21
Cash flow hedge - trust preferred	-		3,336			-		3,336

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2012 using							
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1		Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2		Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3		Balance	
<u>ASSETS</u>	·						,	
Interest rate swap - loans	\$	- 5	\$ 18	\$	-	\$	18	
Securities available for sale:								
U.S. government and agency securities		-	2,849		-		2,849	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		-	229,778		-		229,778	
Corporate and other bonds		-	7,212		-		7,212	
Mortgage-backed securities		-	342,174		-		342,174	
Other securities		-	3,369		-		3,369	
<u>LIABILITIES</u>								
Interest rate swap - loans	\$	- 5	\$ 18	\$	-	\$	18	
Cash flow hedge - trust preferred		-	4,489		-		4,489	

Certain assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. Adjustments to the fair value of these assets usually result from the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

The following describes the valuation techniques used by the Company to measure certain assets recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in the financial statements.

#### Loans held for sale

Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market value. These loans currently consist of residential loans originated for sale in the secondary market. Fair value is based on the price secondary markets are currently offering for similar loans using observable market data which is not materially different than cost due to the short duration between origination and sale (Level 2). As such, the Company records any fair value adjustments on a nonrecurring basis. Nonrecurring fair value adjustments of \$363,000 and \$0 were recorded on loans held for sale during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012, respectively. Gains and losses on the sale of loans are recorded within income from the mortgage segment on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

#### Impaired loans

Loans are designated as impaired when, in the judgment of management based on current information and events, it is probable that all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreements will not be collected. The measurement of loss associated with impaired loans can be based on either the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral. Collateral dependent loans are reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral if repayment is solely from the underlying value of the collateral. Collateral may be in the form of real estate or business assets including equipment, inventory, and accounts receivable. The vast majority of the Company's collateral is real estate. The value of real estate collateral is determined utilizing an income or market valuation approach based on an appraisal conducted by an independent, licensed appraisar using observable market data. When evaluating the fair value, management may discount the appraisal further if, based on their understanding of the market conditions, it is determined the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value (Level 3). The value of business equipment is based upon an outside appraisal, of one year or less, if deemed significant, or the net book value on the applicable business's financial statements if not considered significant using observable market data. Likewise, values for inventory and accounts receivables collateral are based on financial statement balances or aging reports (Level 3). Collateral dependent impaired loans allocated to the allowance for loan losses are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Any fair value adjustments are recorded in the period incurred as provision for loan losses on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

#### Other real estate owned ("OREO")

Fair values of OREO are carried at the lower of either carrying value or fair value less selling costs. Fair value is based upon independent market prices, appraised values of the collateral, or management's estimation of the value of the collateral. When an appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Company records the foreclosed asset as Level 3 valuation. Total valuation expenses related to OREO properties for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 were \$491,000 and \$0, respectively, and for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$301,000.

The following tables summarize the Company's financial assets that were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

in thousands).								
		Fair Value	Measu	rements at Se	ptembe	r 30, 2013 usi	ing	
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1	01	gnificant Other bservable Inputs Level 2	Uno	gnificant bservable Inputs Level 3		Balance
ASSETS		Level 1		LCVCI 2		20013	_	Dalance
Loans held for sale	\$	_	\$	58,179	\$	-	\$	58,179
Impaired loans		-	•	-	•	13,934		13,934
Other real estate owned		-		-		35,709		35,709
		Fair Valu	e Measu	rements at D	ecembe	r 31, 2012 usi	ing	
	_	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1	Si Ol	gnificant Other bservable Inputs Level 2	Sig Uno	gnificant bservable Inputs Level 3	<b>-</b>	Balance
ASSETS		Ecver 1		Ecver 2		Jevel 3	_	Datance
Loans held for sale	\$	-	· \$	167,698	\$	-	\$	167,698
Impaired loans		-		_		30,104		30,104
Other real estate owned		-	•	-		32,834		32,834
	- 30 -							

The following table displays quantitative information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements for September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

			Fair Valu	ue Measurements at September 30, 2013	
	Fair	r Value	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Inputs	Weighted Average
ASSETS					
Commercial Construction	\$	102	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	0%
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied		4,120	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	36%
Raw Land and Lots		1,750	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	6%
Single Family Investment Real Estate		1,892	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	5%
Commercial and Industrial		4,148	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	48%
Other (2)		1,922	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	16%
Total Impaired Loans		13,934			
Other real estate owned		35,709	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	32%
Total	\$	49,643			

<sup>(1)</sup> A discount percentage (in addition to expected selling costs) is applied based on age of independent appraisals, current market conditions, and experience within the local market.

The following table displays quantitative information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements for December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2012										
	Fa	nir Value	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Inputs	Weighted Average							
ASSETS												
Commercial Construction	\$	3,190	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	6%							
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied		2,001	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	13%							
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied		13,100	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	9%							
Raw Land and Lots		7,300	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	6%							
Single Family Investment Real Estate		1,241	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	6%							
Commercial and Industrial		1,810	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	23%							
Other (2)		1,462	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	27%							
Total Impaired Loans		30,104										
Other real estate owned		32,834	Market comparables	Discount applied to market comparables (1)	33%							
Total	\$	62,938										

<sup>(1)</sup> A discount percentage (in addition to expected selling costs) is applied based on age of independent appraisals, current market conditions, and experience within the local market.

ASC 825, Financial Instruments, requires disclosure about fair value of financial instruments for interim periods and excludes certain financial instruments and all non-financial instruments from its disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented may not necessarily represent the underlying fair value of the Company.

#### Cash and cash equivalents

For those short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

<sup>(2)</sup> The "Other" category of the impaired loans section from the table above consists of Other Commercial, Mortgage, Consumer Construction, HELOCs, and Other Consumer.

<sup>(2)</sup> The "Other" category of the impaired loans section from the table above consists of Other Commercial, Mortgage, Consumer Construction, HELOCs, and Other Consumer.

#### Loans

The fair value of performing loans is estimated by discounting expected future cash flows using a yield curve that is constructed by adding a loan spread to a market yield curve. Loan spreads are based on spreads currently observed in the market for loans of similar type and structure. Fair value for impaired loans and their respective level within the fair value hierarchy, are described in the previous disclosure related to fair value measurements of assets that are measured on a nonrecurring basis.

#### **Deposits**

The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts, and certain money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of certificates of deposit is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

#### **Borrowings**

The carrying value of the Company's repurchase agreements is a reasonable estimate of fair value. Other borrowings are discounted using the current yield curve for the same type of borrowing. For borrowings with embedded optionality, a third party source is used to value the instrument. The Company validates all third party valuations for borrowings with optionality using Bloomberg's derivative pricing functions.

#### Accrued interest

The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate fair value.

#### Commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit

The fair value of commitments is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed-rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair value of letters of credit is based on fees currently charged for similar agreements or on the estimated cost to terminate them or otherwise settle the obligations with the counterparties at the reporting date. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the fair value of loan commitments and standby letters of credit was immaterial.

The carrying values and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

			Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2013 using							
	Car	rying Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1		Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2		Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3		,	Total Fair Value Balance
ASSETS	Cui	rying value		<u> </u>		Ecver 2		Levers	_	Bulunee
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	75,082	\$	75,082	\$	-	\$	-	\$	75,082
Securities available for sale		589,437		-		589,437		-		589,437
Restricted stock		19,531		-		19,531		-		19,531
Loans held for sale		58,179		-		58,179		-		58,179
Net loans		2,968,369		-		-		2,986,177		2,986,177
Cash flow hedge - prime loan swap		672		-		672		-		672
Interest rate swap - loans		21		-		21		-		21
Accrued interest receivable		14,221		-		14,221		-		14,221
LIABILITIES										
Deposits	\$	3,224,925	\$	_	\$	3,229,448	\$	_	\$	3,229,448
Borrowings	Ψ	349,995	Ψ	_	Ψ	330,175	Ψ	_	Ψ	330,175
Accrued interest payable		954		_		954		_		954
Cash flow hedge - trust preferred		3,336		-		3,336		-		3,336
Interest rate swap - loans		21		-		21		-		21
•										

			Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2012 using							
	Car	rying Value	Ãc	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1		Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2		Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3		otal Fair Value Balance
<u>ASSETS</u>										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	82,902	\$	82,902	\$	-	\$	-	\$	82,902
Securities available for sale		585,382		-		585,382		-		585,382
Restricted stock		20,687		-		20,687		-		20,687
Loans held for sale		167,698		-		167,698		-		167,698
Net loans		2,931,931		-		-		2,956,339		2,956,339
Interest rate swap - loans		18		-		18		-		18
Accrued interest receivable		19,663		-		19,663		-		19,663
<u>LIABILITIES</u>										
Deposits	\$	3,297,767	\$	-	\$	3,309,149	\$	-	\$	3,309,149
Borrowings		329,395		-		309,019		-		309,019
Accrued interest payable		1,414		-		1,414		-		1,414
Cash flow hedge – trust preferred		4,489		-		4,489		-		4,489
Interest rate swap - loans		18		-		18		-		18

The Company assumes interest rate risk (the risk that general interest rate levels will change) as a result of its normal operations. As a result, the fair values of the Company's financial instruments will change when interest rate levels change and that change may be either favorable or unfavorable to the Company. Management attempts to match maturities of assets and liabilities to the extent believed necessary to minimize interest rate risk. However, borrowers with fixed rate obligations are less likely to prepay in a rising rate environment and more likely to prepay in a falling rate environment. Conversely, depositors who are receiving fixed rates are more likely to withdraw funds before maturity in a rising rate environment and less likely to do so in a falling rate environment. Management monitors rates and maturities of assets and liabilities and attempts to minimize interest rate risk by adjusting terms of new loans and deposits and by investing in securities with terms that mitigate the Company's overall interest rate risk.

#### 10. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company's 2011 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan") provides for the granting of incentive stock options, non-statutory stock options, and nonvested stock awards to key employees of the Company and its subsidiaries. The 2011 Plan authorizes shares, which may be awarded to employees of the Company and its subsidiaries in the form of incentive stock options intended to comply with the requirements of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 ("incentive stock options"), non-statutory stock options, and nonvested stock. Under the plan, the option price cannot be less than the fair market value of the stock on the grant date. A stock option's maximum term is ten years from the date of grant and vests in equal annual installments of 20% over a five year vesting schedule. The Company issues new shares to satisfy stock-based awards. The following table summarizes the shares available in the plan as of September 30, 2013:

	2011 Plan
Beginning Authorization	1,000,000
Granted	(387,594)
Expired, forfeited, or cancelled	26,857
Remaining available for grant	639,263

For the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, the Company recognized stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$297,000 and \$622,000 (\$236,000 and \$505,000, net of tax), respectively, and \$324,000 and \$938,000 (\$242,000 and \$707,000, net of tax), respectively. These expenses were approximately \$0.01 per common share for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, and approximately \$0.02 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Stock based compensation expense was \$0.01 and \$0.04 for the three and nine month periods, respectively, ended September 30, 2012.

#### Stock Options

The following table summarizes the stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

	Number of Stock	Weighted Average
	Options	Exercise Price
Options outstanding, December 31, 2012	500,578	\$ 16.92
Exercised	(16,845)	15.94
Expired	(44,888)	18.80
Options outstanding, September 30, 2013	438,845	16.76
Options exercisable, September 30, 2013	236,803	19.11

The fair value of each stock option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. No options have been granted since February of 2012:

	N	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2013		2012			
Dividend yield <sup>(1)</sup>		-	2.47%			
Expected life in years (2)		-	7.0			
Expected volatility (3)		-	41.53%			
Risk-free interest rate <sup>(4)</sup>		-	1.24%			
Weighted average fair value per option granted	\$	- \$	4.76			

- (1) Calculated as the ratio of historical dividends paid per share of common stock to the stock price on the date of grant.
- (2) Based on the average of the contractual life and vesting schedule for the respective option.
- (3) Based on the monthly historical volatility of the Company's stock price over the expected life of the options.
- (4) Based upon the U.S. Treasury bill yield curve, for periods within the contractual life of the option, in effect at the time of grant.

The following table summarizes information concerning stock options issued to the Company's employees that are vested or are expected to vest and stock options exercisable as of September 30, 2013:

	Stock Options Vested or			
	Expected	to Vest		Exercisable
Stock options		438,845	,	236,803
Weighted average remaining contractual life in years		5.92		4.37
Weighted average exercise price on shares above water	\$	15.16	\$	16.44
Aggregate intrinsic value	\$	3,063,866	\$	1,212,833

#### Nonvested Stock

The 2011 Plan permits the granting of nonvested stock but are limited to one-third of the aggregate number of total awards granted. This equity component of compensation is divided between restricted (time-based) stock grants and performance-based stock grants. Generally, the restricted stock vests 50% on each of the third and fourth anniversaries from the date of the grant. The performance-based stock is subject to vesting based on achieving certain performance metrics; the grant of performance-based stock is subject to approval by the Company's Compensation Committee in its sole discretion. The value of the nonvested stock awards was calculated by multiplying the fair market value of the Company's common stock on grant date by the number of shares awarded. Employees have the right to vote the shares and to receive cash or stock dividends (restricted stock), if any, except for the nonvested stock under the performance-based component (performance stock).

The following table summarizes the nonvested stock activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

	Number of	Weighted
	Shares of	Average Grant-
	Restricted Stock	Date Fair Value
Balance, December 31, 2012	187,700	\$ 13.15
Granted	126,172	18.80
Vested	(12,119)	14.01
Forfeited	(30,850)	15.22
Balance, September 30, 2013	270,903	16.28

The estimated unamortized compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, related to nonvested stock and stock options issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2013 that will be recognized in future periods is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Stock Options	Resti	ricted Stock	Total
For the remaining three months of 2013	\$ 82	\$	21	\$ 103
For year ending December 31, 2014	315		978	1,293
For year ending December 31, 2015	241		721	962
For year ending December 31, 2016	143		342	485
For year ending December 31, 2017	27		44	71
Total	\$ 808	\$	2,106	\$ 2,914

### 11. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per common share ("EPS") was computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, including the effect of dilutive potential common shares outstanding attributable to stock awards. There were approximately 200,787 and 594,946 shares underlying anti-dilutive stock awards as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The following is a reconcilement of the denominators of the basic and diluted EPS computations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts):

	to Sh	come Available o Common nareholders Jumerator)	Weighted Average Common Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount
For the Three Months ended September 30, 2013	-	<u> </u>		
Net income, basic	\$	7,946	24,895	\$ 0.32
Add: potentially dilutive common shares - stock awards		-	68	-
Diluted	\$	7,946	24,963	\$ 0.32
For the Three Months ended September 30, 2012				
Net income, basic	\$	9,626	25,881	\$ 0.37
Add: potentially dilutive common shares - stock awards		<u>-</u>	27	<u>-</u>
Diluted	\$	9,626	25,908	\$ 0.37
For the Nine Months ended September 30, 2013				
Net income, basic	\$	26,392	24,987	\$ 1.06
Add: potentially dilutive common shares - stock awards		<u> </u>	44	<u>-</u>
Diluted	\$	26,392	25,031	\$ 1.06
For the Nine Months ended September 30, 2012				
Net income, basic	\$	25,969	25,893	\$ 1.00
Add: potentially dilutive common shares - stock awards		-	28	-
Diluted	\$	25,969	25,921	\$ 1.00

### 12. SEGMENT REPORTING DISCLOSURES

The Company has two reportable segments: a traditional full service community bank and a mortgage loan origination business. The community bank business for 2013 includes one subsidiary bank, which provides loan, deposit, investment, and trust services to retail and commercial customers throughout its 90 retail locations in Virginia. The mortgage segment includes one mortgage company, which provides a variety of mortgage loan products principally in Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Maryland, and the Washington D.C. metro area. These loans are originated and sold primarily in the secondary market through purchase commitments from investors, which serves to mitigate the Company's exposure to interest rate risk.

Profit and loss is measured by net income after taxes including realized gains and losses on the Company's investment portfolio. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. Inter-segment transactions are recorded at cost and eliminated as part of the consolidation process.

Both of the Company's reportable segments are service-based. The mortgage business is a fee-based business while the bank is driven principally by net interest income. The bank segment provides a distribution and referral network through its customers for the mortgage loan origination business. The mortgage segment offers a more limited referral network for the bank segment, due largely to the minimal degree of overlapping geographic markets.

The community bank segment provides the mortgage segment with the short-term funds needed to originate mortgage loans through a warehouse line of credit and charges the mortgage banking segment interest at the three month LIBOR rate plus 1.5%, floor of 2%. These transactions are eliminated in the consolidation process. A management fee for operations and administrative support services is charged to all subsidiaries and eliminated in the consolidated totals.

Information about reportable segments and reconciliation of such information to the consolidated financial statements for three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 is as follows (dollars in thousands):

# UNION FIRST MARKET BANKSHARES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES **SEGMENT FINANCIAL INFORMATION** (Dollars in thousands)

	Com	munity Bank	Mortgage	Eliminations		Consolidated	
Three Months Ended September 30, 2013							
Net interest income	\$	37,465	\$ 393	\$	-	\$	37,858
Provision for loan losses		1,800	 <u>-</u>		-		1,800
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		35,665	393		-		36,058
Noninterest income		7,322	2,062		(168)		9,216
Noninterest expenses		29,904	 4,396		(168)		34,132
Income before income taxes		13,083	(1,941)		-		11,142
Income tax expense		3,902	(706)		_		3,196
Net income	\$	9,181	\$ (1,235)	\$	_	\$	7,946
Total assets	\$	4,041,661	\$ 69,010	\$	(63,563)	\$	4,047,108
Three Months Ended September 30, 2012							
Net interest income	\$	38,428	\$ 334	\$	-	\$	38,762
Provision for loan losses		2,400	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>		2,400
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		36,028	334		-		36,362
Noninterest income		5,863	4,756		(117)		10,502
Noninterest expenses		29,709	3,676		(117)		33,268
Income before income taxes		12,182	1,414		-		13,596
Income tax expense		3,415	555		-		3,970
Net income	\$	8,767	\$ 859	\$	-	\$	9,626
Total assets	\$	4,020,661	\$ 154,181	\$	(146,649)	\$	4,028,193
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013							
Net interest income	\$	111,612	\$ 1,402	\$	-	\$	113,014
Provision for loan losses		4,850	-		-		4,850
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		106,762	1,402		_		108,164
Noninterest income		20,266	10,586		(503)		30,349
Noninterest expenses		89,242	13,176		(503)		101,915
Income before income taxes		37,786	(1,188)				36,598
Income tax expense		10,633	(427)		-		10,206
Net income	\$	27,153	\$ (761)	\$		\$	26,392
Total assets	\$	4,041,661	\$ 69,010	\$	(63,563)	\$	4,047,108
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012							
Net interest income	\$	114,258	\$ 938	\$	-	\$	115,196
Provision for loan losses		8,900			-		8,900
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		105,358	938		-		106,296
Noninterest income		18,228	11,356		(352)		29,232
Noninterest expenses		89,780	9,715		(352)		99,143
Income before income taxes		33,806	2,579		-		36,385
Income tax expense		9,400	1,016		<u>-</u>		10,416
Net income	\$	24,406	\$ 1,563	\$		\$	25,969
Total assets	\$	4,020,661	\$ 154,181	\$	(146,649)	\$	4,028,193

# 13. OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES

The following table presents the consolidated statement of income line "Other Operating Expenses" broken into greater detail for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2013		2012		2013		2012		
Printing, postage, and supplies	\$	652	\$	814	\$	2,258	\$	2,020		
Communications expense		698		783		2,070		2,246		
Technology and data processing		2,013		1,744		5,778		5,569		
Professional services		795		850		2,183		2,284		
Marketing and advertising expense		1,017		1,241		3,177		4,131		
FDIC assessment premiums and other insurance		759		593		2,305		1,912		
Other taxes		796		746		2,394		2,262		
Loan related expenses		906		489		1,995		1,646		
OREO and credit-related expenses <sup>(1)</sup>		1,601		1,035		3,159		3,273		
Amortization of core deposit premiums		921		1,313		2,912		4,048		
Acquisition and conversion costs		473		-		1,393		-		
Other expenses		1,600		1,473		5,308		4,284		
Total other operating expenses	\$	12,231	\$	11,081	\$	34,932	\$	33,675		

 $<sup>{\</sup>it (1)}~OREO~related~costs~include~foreclosure~related~expenses,~gains/losses~on~the~sale~of~OREO,~valuation~reserves,~and~asset~resolution~related~legal~expenses.$ 



### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders Union First Market Bankshares Corporation Richmond, Virginia

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Union First Market Bankshares Corporation and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for the nine month period ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures to financial data and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the consolidated financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the consolidated balance sheet of Union First Market Bankshares Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholder's equity, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated March 13, 2013, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Yount, Hyde & Barbour, P.C.

Winchester, Virginia November 7, 2013

### ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's discussion and analysis is presented to aid the reader in understanding and evaluating the financial condition and results of operations of Union First Market Bankshares Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company"). This discussion and analysis should be read with the consolidated financial statements, the notes to the financial statements, and the other financial data included in this report, as well as the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K and management's discussion and analysis for the year ended December 31, 2012. Highlighted in the discussion are material changes from prior reporting periods and any identifiable trends affecting the Company. Results of operations for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 are not necessarily indicative of results that may be attained for any other period. Amounts are rounded for presentation purposes while some of the percentages presented are computed based on unrounded amounts.

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements in this report may constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are statements that include projections, predictions, expectations, or beliefs about future events or results or otherwise are not statements of historical fact. Such statements are often characterized by the use of qualified words (and their derivatives) such as "expect," "believe," "estimate," "plan," "project," "anticipate," "intend," "will," or words of similar meaning or other statements concerning opinions or judgment of the Company and its management about future events. Although the Company believes that its expectations with respect to forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions within the bounds of its existing knowledge of its business and operations, there can be no assurance that actual results, performance, or achievements of the Company will not differ materially from any future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Actual future results and trends may differ materially from historical results or those anticipated depending on a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, the effects of and changes in: general economic and bank industry conditions, the interest rate environment, legislative and regulatory requirements, competitive pressures, new products and delivery systems, inflation, the stock and bond markets, accounting standards or interpretations of existing standards, technology, consumer spending and savings habits, and mergers and acquisitions, including merger integration risk in connection with the Company's pending merger with StellarOne Corporation such as potential deposit attrition, higher than expected costs, customer loss and business disruption associated with the integration of StellarOne Corporation, including, without limitation, potential difficulties in maintaining relationships with key personnel and other inte

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### General

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company and its subsidiaries are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and conform to general practices within the banking industry. The Company's financial position and results of operations are affected by management's application of accounting policies, including estimates, assumptions, and judgments made to arrive at the carrying value of assets and liabilities and amounts reported for revenues, expenses, and related disclosures. Different assumptions in the application of these policies could result in material changes in the Company's consolidated financial position and/or results of operations.

The more critical accounting and reporting policies include the Company's accounting for the allowance for loan losses, mergers and acquisitions, and goodwill and intangible assets. The Company's accounting policies are fundamental to understanding the Company's consolidated financial position and consolidated results of operations. Accordingly, the Company's significant accounting policies are discussed in detail in Note 1 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" in the "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements" contained in Item 8 of the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The following is a summary of the Company's critical accounting policies that are highly dependent on estimates, assumptions, and judgments.

### Allowance for Loan Losses ("ALL")

The provision for loan losses charged to operations is an amount sufficient to bring the allowance for loan losses to an estimated balance that management considers adequate to absorb potential losses in the portfolio. Loans are charged against the allowance when management believes the collectability of the principal is unlikely. Recoveries of amounts previously charged-off are credited to the allowance. Management's determination of the adequacy of the allowance is based on an evaluation of the composition of the loan portfolio, the value and adequacy of collateral, current economic conditions, historical loan loss experience, and other risk factors. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate. While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, future additions to the allowance may be necessary based on changes in economic conditions, particularly those affecting real estate values. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Company to make adjustments to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

The Company performs regular credit reviews of the loan portfolio to review the credit quality and adherence to its underwriting standards. The credit reviews consist of reviews by its Internal Audit group and reviews performed by an independent third party. Upon origination, each commercial loan is assigned a risk rating ranging from one to nine, with loans closer to one having less risk, and this risk rating scale is the Company's primary credit quality indicator. Consumer loans are generally not risk rated; the primary credit quality indicator for this portfolio segment is delinquency status. The Company has various committees that review and ensure that the allowance for loan losses methodology is in accordance with GAAP and loss factors used appropriately reflect the risk characteristics of the loan portfolio.

The Company's ALL consists of specific, general, and unallocated components.

Specific Reserve Component - The specific reserve component relates to impaired loans. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Upon being identified as impaired, for loans not considered to be collateral dependent, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows of the impaired loan are lower than the carrying value of that loan. Impaired loans under \$500,000 are aggregated based on similar risk characteristics. The level of credit impairment within the pool(s) is determined based on historical loss factors for loans with similar risk characteristics, taking into consideration environmental factors specifically related to the underlying pool. The impairment of collateral dependent loans is measured based on the fair value of the underlying collateral (based on independent appraisals), less selling costs, compared to the carrying value of the loan. If the Company determines that the value of an impaired collateral dependent loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, it either recognizes an impairment reserve as a specific component to be provided for in the allowance for loan losses or charge-off the deficiency if it is determined that such amount represents a confirmed loss. Typically, a loss is confirmed when the Company is moving towards foreclosure (or final disposition) of the underlying collateral or when there is a payment default of 180 days, whichever occurs first.

The Company obtains independent appraisals from a pre-approved list of independent, third party appraisal firms located in the market in which the collateral is located. The Company's approved appraiser list is continuously maintained to ensure the list only includes such appraisers that have the experience, reputation, character, and knowledge of the respective real estate market. At a minimum, it is ascertained that the appraiser is currently licensed in the state in which the property is located, experienced in the appraisal of properties similar to the property being appraised, has knowledge of current real estate market conditions and financing trends, and is reputable. The Company's internal Real Estate Valuation Group, which reports to the Risk and Compliance Group, performs either a technical or administrative review of all appraisals obtained. A technical review will ensure the overall quality of the appraisal, while an administrative review ensures that all of the required components of an appraisal are present. Generally, independent appraisals are updated every 12 to 24 months or as necessary. The Company's impairment analysis documents the date of the appraisal used in the analysis, whether the officer preparing the report deems it current, and, if not, allows for internal valuation adjustments with justification. Adjustments to appraisals generally include discounts for continued market deterioration subsequent to the appraisal date. Any adjustments from the appraised value to carrying value are documented in the impairment analysis, which is reviewed and approved by senior credit administration officers and the Special Assets Loan Committee. External appraisals are the primary source to value collateral dependent loans; however, the Company may also utilize values obtained through broker price opinions or other valuations sources. These alternative sources of value are used only if deemed to be more representative of value based on updated information regarding collateral resolution. Impairment a

General Reserve Component - The general reserve component covers non-impaired loans and is derived from an estimate of credit losses adjusted for various environmental factors applicable to both commercial and consumer loan segments. The estimate of credit losses is a function of the product of net charge-off historical loss experience to the loan balance of the loan portfolio averaged during the preceding twelve quarters, as management has determined this to adequately reflect the losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The environmental factors consist of national, local, and portfolio characteristics and are applied to both the commercial and consumer segments. The following table shows the types of environmental factors management considers:

### ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Experience and ability of lending team
Depth of lending team
Pace of loan growth
Franchise expansion
Execution of loan risk rating process
Degree of oversight / underwriting standards
Value of real estate serving as collateral
Delinquency levels in portfolio
Charge-off levels in portfolio
Credit concentrations / nature and volume of the portfolio

Interest rates
Inflation
Unemployment
Gross domestic product
General market risk and other concerns
Legislative and regulatory environment

National

Level of economic activity
Unemployment
Competition
Military/government impact

Unallocated Component – This component may be used to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio. Together, the specific, general, and any unallocated allowance for loan loss represents management's estimate of losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. Though provisions for loan losses may be based on specific loans, the entire allowance for loan losses is available for any loan management deems necessary to charge-off. At September 30, 2013, there were no material amounts considered unallocated as part of the allowance for loan losses.

#### Impaired Loans

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. A loan that is classified substandard or worse is considered impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. The impaired loan policy is the same for each of the seven classes within the commercial portfolio segment.

For the consumer loan portfolio segment, large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. This evaluation subjects each of the Company's homogenous pools to a historical loss factor derived from net charge-offs experienced over the preceding twelve quarters. The Company applies payments received on impaired loans to principal and interest based on the contractual terms until they are placed on nonaccrual status. All payments received are then applied to reduce the principal balance and recognition of interest income is terminated.

# Mergers and Acquisitions

The Company's merger and acquisition strategy focuses on high-growth areas with strong market demographics and targets organizations that have a comparable corporate culture, strong performance, and good asset quality, among other factors.

Business combinations are accounted for under Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 805, Business Combinations, using the acquisition method of accounting. The acquisition method of accounting requires an acquirer to recognize the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at the acquisition date measured at their fair values as of that date. To determine the fair values, the Company will continue to rely on third party valuations, such as appraisals, or internal valuations based on discounted cash flow analyses or other valuation techniques. Under the acquisition method of accounting, the Company will identify the acquirer and the closing date and apply applicable recognition principles and conditions. If they are necessary to implement its plan to exit an activity of an acquiree, costs that the Company expects, but is not obligated, to incur in the future are not liabilities at the acquisition date, nor are costs to terminate the employment of or relocate an acquiree's employees. The Company does not recognize these costs as part of applying the acquisition method. Instead, the Company recognizes these costs as expenses in its post-combination financial statements in accordance with other applicable GAAP.

Acquisition-related costs are costs the Company incurs to effect a business combination. Those costs include advisory, legal, accounting, valuation, and other professional or consulting fees. Some other examples of acquisition-related costs to the Company include systems conversions, integration planning consultants, and advertising costs. The Company will account for acquisition-related costs as expenses in the periods in which the costs are incurred and the services are received, with one exception. The costs to issue debt or equity securities will be recognized in accordance with other applicable GAAP. These acquisition-related costs are included within the Consolidated Statements of Income classified within the noninterest expense caption.

### Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The Company follows ASC 350, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, which prescribes the accounting for goodwill and intangible assets subsequent to initial recognition. The provisions of this guidance discontinued the amortization of goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives but require an impairment review at least annually and more frequently if certain impairment indicators are evident.

### ABOUT UNION FIRST MARKET BANKSHARES CORPORATION

Headquartered in Richmond, Virginia, Union First Market Bankshares Corporation is the holding company for Union First Market Bank, which has 90 branches and more than 150 ATMs throughout Virginia. Non-bank affiliates of the holding company include: Union Investment Services, Inc., which provides full brokerage services; Union Mortgage Group, Inc., which provides a full line of mortgage products; and Union Insurance Group, LLC, which offers various lines of insurance products. Union First Market Bank also owns a non-controlling interest in Johnson Mortgage Company, LLC.

Additional information is available on the Company's website at http://investors.bankatunion.com. The information contained on the Company's website is not a part of this report. Shares of the Company's common stock are traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol UBSH.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Executive Overview

- The Company reported net income of \$7.9 million and earnings per share of \$0.32 for its third quarter ended September 30, 2013. Excluding after-tax acquisition-related costs of \$471,000, operating earnings(1) for the quarter were \$8.4 million and operating earnings per share(1) were \$0.34. The Company's community banking segment reported operating net income(1) of \$9.7 million (or \$0.39 per share), an increase of \$885,000 (or \$0.05 per share) from the same quarter in the prior year. The Company's mortgage segment reported a net loss of \$1.2 million (or \$0.05 per share), a decrease of \$1.5 million (or \$0.06 per share) and \$2.1 million (or \$0.08 per share) from the prior quarter and the same quarter in the prior year, respectively.
- On June 10, 2013, the Company and StellarOne Corporation ("StellarOne") announced the signing of a definitive merger agreement, pursuant to which the Company will acquire StellarOne, creating the largest community banking institution in the Commonwealth of Virginia. The Company will retain its name, and its corporate headquarters will remain in Richmond. Under the terms of the agreement, common shareholders of StellarOne will receive 0.9739 shares of the Company's common stock for each share of StellarOne. The companies expect to consummate the transaction on or around January 1, 2014, subject to customary closing conditions, including regulatory and shareholder approvals. On August 15, 2013, the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a Registration Statement on Form S-4 to register the shares of its common stock that will be issued in the merger and to provide information about the merger in connection with the solicitation of the approval of the merger by the respective shareholders of the Company and StellarOne. The Form S-4 was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 22, 2013, and the definitive proxy statement/prospectus was mailed to shareholders of the Company and StellarOne on or about October 25, 2013. On October 11, 2013, the Company received regulatory approval from the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond and from the Virginia State Corporation Commission to move forward with its acquisition of StellarOne.

### Third Quarter 2013 Compared to Third Quarter 2012

- The quarterly results represent a decrease of \$1.2 million, or 12.6%, in operating earnings<sup>(1)</sup> from the same quarter of the prior year. Operating earnings<sup>(1)</sup> per share of \$0.34 for the current quarter decreased \$0.03, or 8.1%, from the prior year's third quarter.
- Operating Return on Average Equity(1) ("ROE") decreased to 7.74% for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 compared to operating ROE(1) of 8.70% for the same quarter of the prior year. Including current quarter acquisition-related costs, ROE was 7.31%. The operating ROE(1) of the community bank segment was 9.08% compared to 8.06% at September 30, 2012.
- Operating Return on Average Assets(1) ("ROA") decreased to 0.83% for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 compared to operating ROA(1) of 0.96% for the same quarter of the prior year. Including current quarter acquisition-related costs, ROA was 0.78%. The operating ROA(1) of the community bank segment was 0.95% compared to 0.87% at September 30, 2012.
- Operating efficiency ratio(1) of 69.56% increased 344 basis points when compared to the same quarter of the prior year. The operating efficiency ratio(1) of the community bank segment was 63.84%, compared to 65.52% at September 30, 2012.
- Credit quality metrics continued to improve as nonperforming assets ("NPAs") and the ratio of NPAs compared to total loans declined from the same quarter last year.
- Loan demand continued to improve with an increase in average loans outstanding of \$123.5 million, or 4.3%, since September 30, 2012.
- Cash dividends declared during the second quarter were \$0.14 per share. The dividend amount is a 40% increase over the dividend rate for the same quarter last year.

### Third Quarter 2013 Compared to Second Quarter 2013

- The linked quarter results represent a decrease of \$2.0 million, or 18.9%, in operating earnings(1) from the second quarter. Operating earnings(1) per share of \$0.34 for the current quarter decreased \$0.08, or 19.0%, from the second quarter.
- The Company's community banking segment reported operating net income(1) of \$9.7 million (or \$0.39 per share), a decrease of \$436,000 (or \$0.02 per share) from the prior quarter.
- Operating ROE(1) decreased to 7.74% for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 compared to operating ROE(1) of 9.58% for the second quarter of 2013. Including current quarter acquisition-related costs, ROE was 7.31%. The operating ROE(1) of the community bank segment was 9.08% compared to the prior quarter of 9.52%.
- Operating ROA(1) decreased to 0.83% for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 compared to operating ROA(1) of 1.03% for the second quarter of 2013. Including current quarter acquisition-related costs, ROA was 0.78%. The operating ROA(1) of the community bank segment was 0.95% compared to the prior quarter of 1.01%.
- Operating efficiency ratio(1) of 69.56% increased 283 basis points when compared to the prior quarter. The operating efficiency ratio(1) of the community bank segment was 63.84%, compared to the prior quarter of 64.09%.
- Tax-equivalent net interest income was \$39.2 million, an increase of \$476,000, or 1.2%, from the second quarter of 2013. The third quarter tax-equivalent net interest margin increased by 2 basis points to 4.20% from 4.18% in the previous quarter.
- Noninterest income decreased \$2.1 million, or 18.4%, to \$9.2 million from \$11.3 million in the second quarter. Excluding mortgage segment operations, noninterest income increased \$524,000, or 7.7%.
- · Noninterest expense decreased \$151,000, or 0.4%, to \$34.1 million from \$34.3 million when compared to the second quarter. Excluding mortgage segment operations and acquisition-related costs, noninterest expense increased \$557,000, or 1.9%, compared to the second quarter.
- During the quarter, the Company added almost 1,000 net new core household accounts consistent with growth in the prior quarter and the 4.4% annualized growth rate in 2012.

### Year to Date 2013 Compared to 2012

- · Year to date results represent an increase of \$1.8 million, or 7.0%, in operating earnings(1) from the prior year. Operating earnings(1) per share of \$1.11 for the current year increased \$0.11, or 11.0%, from the prior year.
- The Company's community banking segment reported operating net income<sup>(1)</sup> of \$28.5 million (or \$1.14 per share), an increase of \$4.1 million (or \$0.20 per share) from the prior year.
- Operating ROE(1) increased to 8.55% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to operating ROE(1) of 8.03% for the same period of 2012. Including current year acquisition-related costs, ROE was 8.12%. The operating ROE(1) of the community bank segment was 8.98% compared to the prior year of 7.67%.
- · Operating ROA(1) increased to 0.92% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to operating ROA(1) of 0.88% for the same period of 2012. Including current year acquisition-related costs, ROA was 0.87%. The operating ROA(1) of the community bank segment was 0.95% compared to the prior year of 0.83%.
- Operating efficiency ratio<sup>(1)</sup> of 68.28% increased 109 basis points when compared to the prior year. The operating efficiency ratio<sup>(1)</sup> of the community bank segment was 64.72%, compared to the prior year of 66.20%.
- Tax-equivalent net interest income was \$116.9 million, a decrease of \$1.4 million, or 1.2%, when compared to the same period last year. The tax-equivalent net interest margin decreased by 16 basis points to 4.20% from 4.36% in the prior year.
- Noninterest income increased \$1.1 million, or 3.8%, to \$30.3 million, from \$29.2 million a year ago. Excluding mortgage segment operations, noninterest income increased \$2.0 million, or 11.2%, from the same period a year ago.
- Noninterest expense increased \$2.8 million, or 2.8%, to \$101.9 million, from \$99.1 million a year ago. Excluding mortgage segment operations and acquisition-related costs, which were \$1.4 million during 2013, noninterest expense declined \$1.9 million, or 2.2%, compared to the same period in 2012.

(1) For a reconciliation of the non-GAAP measures operating earnings, ROA, ROE, EPS, and efficiency ratio, see "NON-GAAP MEASURES" included in this Item 2.

#### For the Three Months Ended

$D_{\alpha}I$	lana in	thousand	~

	 Dotter's in industries								
	 09/30/13		06/30/13		Change		09/30/12		Change
Average interest-earning assets	\$ 3,703,449	\$	3,713,392	\$	(9,943)	\$	3,671,398	\$	32,051
Interest income (FTE)	\$ 44,157	\$	43,981	\$	176	\$	46,555	\$	(2,398)
Yield on interest-earning assets	4.73%	)	4.75%	)	(2)bps	S	5.04%		(31)bps
Average interest-bearing liabilities	\$ 2,892,957	\$	2,907,523	\$	(14,566)	\$	2,925,322	\$	(32,365)
Interest expense	\$ 4,983	\$	5,283	\$	(300)	\$	6,741	\$	(1,758)
Cost of interest-bearing liabilities	0.68%	)	0.73%	)	(5)bps	S	0.92%		(24)bps
Cost of funds	0.53%	)	0.57%	)	(4)bps	S	0.73%		(20)bps
Net Interest Income (FTE)	\$ 39,174	\$	38,698	\$	476	\$	39,814	\$	(640)
Net Interest Margin (FTE)	4.20%	)	4.18%	)	2bps		4.31%		(11)bps
Core Net Interest Margin (FTE) <sup>(1)</sup>	4.16%	)	4.14%	)	2bps		4.23%		(7)bps

<sup>(1)</sup> Core net interest margin (FTE) excludes the impact of acquisition accounting accretion and amortization adjustments in net interest income.

On a linked quarter basis, tax-equivalent net interest income was \$39.2 million, an increase of \$476,000, or 1.2%, from the second quarter of 2013. The third quarter tax-equivalent net interest margin increased by 2 basis points to 4.20% from 4.18% in the previous quarter. The increase in net interest margin was principally attributable to the reduction in the cost of funds (4 bps) outpacing the decline in earning asset yields (2 bps). The increase in net interest income was driven by higher average loan balances, the decline in the cost of funds and the higher daycount in the current quarter. Loan yields continued to be negatively affected by the low interest rate environment as new and renewed loans were originated and repriced at lower rates. Yields on investment securities were largely unchanged for the quarter, as prepayment speeds in taxable securities slowed and a shift in mix from taxable securities to higher yielding tax-exempt securities continued. The cost of interest-bearing liabilities declined during the quarter largely driven by lower time deposit account balances.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, tax-equivalent net interest income decreased \$640,000, or 1.6%, when compared to the same period last year. The tax-equivalent net interest margin decreased by 11 basis points to 4.20% from 4.31% in the prior year. The decline in net interest margin was principally due to the continued decline in accretion on the acquired net earning assets (4 bps) and declines in earning asset yields exceeding the reduction in interest-bearing liabilities rates paid (7 bps). Lower earning asset interest income was principally due to lower yields on loans as new and renewed loans were originated and repriced at lower rates, faster prepayments on mortgage-backed securities, and cash flows from securities investments reinvested at lower yields. The decline in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities from the prior year's third quarter was driven by a shift in mix from time deposits to demand deposits, reductions in deposit rates and lower wholesale borrowing costs.

The Company continues to believe that net interest margin will decline modestly over the next several quarters as decreases in earning asset yields are projected to outpace declines in interest-bearing liabilities rates.

### Year-over-year results For the Nine Months Ended

Dollars in thousands

	_	09/30/13		09/30/12	_	Change
Average interest-earning assets	\$	3,717,470	\$	3,622,057	\$	95,413
Interest income (FTE)	\$	132,680	\$	139,814	\$	(7,134)
Yield on interest-earning assets		4.77%	, )	5.16%	)	(39)bps
Average interest-bearing liabilities	\$	2,918,682	\$	2,915,082	\$	3,600
Interest expense	\$	15,798	\$	21,485	\$	(5,687)
Cost of interest-bearing liabilities		0.72%	)	0.99%	)	(27)bps
Cost of funds		0.57%	)	0.80%	)	(23)bps
Net Interest Income (FTE)	\$	116,882	\$	118,329	\$	(1,447)
Net Interest Margin (FTE)		4.20%	)	4.36%	)	(16)bps
Core Net Interest Margin (FTE) <sup>(1)</sup>		4.16%	D	4.25%	)	(9)bps

<sup>(1)</sup> Core net interest margin (FTE) excludes the impact of acquisition accounting accretion and amortization adjustments in net interest income.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, tax-equivalent net interest income was \$116.9 million, a decrease of \$1.4 million, or 1.2%, when compared to the same period last year. The tax-equivalent net interest margin decreased by 16 basis points to 4.20% from 4.36% in the prior year. The decline in the net interest margin was principally due to the continued decline in accretion on the acquired net earning assets (7 bps) and a decline in the yield on interest-earning assets that outpaced the reduction in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities (9 bps). Lower interest-earning asset income was principally due to lower yields on loans as new loans and renewed loans were originated and repriced at lower rates and declining investment securities yields driven by faster prepayments on mortgage-backed securities and cash flows from securities investments reinvested at lower yields.

The Volume Rate Analysis table below presents changes in interest income and interest expense and distinguishes between the changes related to increases or decreases in average outstanding balances of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities (volume), and the changes related to increases or decreases in average interest rates on such assets and liabilities (rate). Changes attributable to both volume and rate have been allocated proportionally. Results, on a taxable equivalent basis, are as follows in this Volume Rate Analysis table for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 versus September 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	:	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 vs. September 30, 2012 Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in:						Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 vs. September 30, 20 Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in				
		Volume		Rate		Total		Volume		Rate		Total
Earning Assets:												
Securities:												
Taxable	\$	(515)	\$	(484)	\$	(999)	\$	(1,552)	\$	(2,080)	\$	(3,632)
Tax-exempt		624		(192)		432		1,823		(678)		1,145
Total securities		109		(676)		(567)		271		(2,758)		(2,487)
Loans, net		1,475		(3,230)		(1,755)		5,020		(10,458)		(5,438)
Loans held for sale		(166)		92		(74)		881		(46)		835
Federal funds sold		(1)		-		(1)		-		-		-
Money market investments		-		-		-		-		-		-
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks		(1)		-		(1)		(31)		(13)		(44)
Other interest-bearing deposits		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total earning assets	\$	1,416	\$	(3,814)	\$	(2,398)	\$	6,141	\$	(13,275)	\$	(7,134)
Interest-Bearing Liabilities:												
Interest-bearing deposits:												
Checking	\$	11	\$	(24)	\$	(13)	\$	25	\$	(114)	\$	(89)
Money market savings		27		(229)		(202)		120		(958)		(838)
Regular savings		22		(7)		15		69		(81)		(12)
Certificates of deposit:												
\$100,000 and over		(259)		(362)		(621)		(595)		(996)		(1,591)
Under \$100,000		(203)		(331)		(534)		(613)		(908)		(1,521)
Total interest-bearing deposits		(402)		(953)		(1,355)		(994)		(3,057)		(4,051)
Other borrowings		33		(436)		(403)		384		(2,020)		(1,636)
Total interest-bearing liabilities		(369)		(1,389)		(1,758)		(610)		(5,077)		(5,687)
Change in net interest income	<u>\$</u>	1,785	\$	(2,425)	\$	(640)	\$	6,751	\$	(8,198)	\$	(1,447)

The Company's fully taxable equivalent net interest margin includes the impact of acquisition accounting fair value adjustments. The 2013 and remaining estimated discount/premium and net accretion impact are reflected in the following table (dollars in thousands):

	Loan Accretion	Certificates of Deposit		Borrowings	Total
For the quarter ended September 30, 2013	\$ 471	\$	2 \$	(122)	\$ 351
For the remaining three months of 2013	461		1	(123)	339
For the years ending:					
2014	1,459		4	(489)	974
2015	1,002		-	(489)	513
2016	557		-	(163)	394
2017	172		-	-	172
2018	19		-	-	19
Thereafter	110		-	-	110

# AVERAGE BALANCES, INCOME AND EXPENSES, YIELDS AND RATES (TAXABLE EQUIVALENT BASIS)

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,											
			20	13	2012							
				Income /	Yield /		Interest Income /	Yield /				
	Ave	rage Balance	Exp	ense	Rate (1)	Average Balance	Expense	Rate (1)				
					(Dollars in t	housands)						
Assets:												
Securities:	0	255 255		1.040	4.050/	ATO 562	0.040	2.410				
Taxable	\$	375,257	\$	1,849	1.95%		\$ 2,848	2.41%				
Tax-exempt		223,595		3,222	5.72%	181,292	2,790	6.12%				
Total securities (2)		598,852		5,071	3.36%	651,855	5,638	3.44%				
Loans, net (3) (4)		2,997,083		38,271	5.07%	2,890,666	40,026	5.51%				
Loans held for sale		97,993		812	3.29%	119,190	886	2.96%				
Federal funds sold		415		-	0.20%	315	1	0.23%				
Money market investments		1		-	0.00%	(24)	-	0.00%				
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks		9,105		3	0.14%	9,396	4	0.18%				
Other interest-bearing deposits		-		-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%				
Total earning assets		3,703,449		44,157	4.73%	3,671,398	46,555	5.04%				
Allowance for loan losses		(34,302)				(41,122)						
Total non-earning assets		368,783				364,554						
Total assets	<u>s</u>	4,037,930				\$ 3,994,830						
2 other models	Ф	4,037,930				3,334,630						
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity:												
Interest-bearing deposits:												
Checking	\$	462,666		86	0.07%	\$ 413,753	99	0.10%				
Money market savings		940,847		555	0.23%	909,920	757	0.33%				
Regular savings		229,345		174	0.30%	201,065	159	0.31%				
Time deposits: (5)												
\$100,000 and over		452,490		1,358	1.19%	528,359	1,979	1.49%				
Under \$100,000		481,812		1,198	0.99%	551,663	1,732	1.25%				
Total interest-bearing deposits		2,567,160		3,371	0.52%	2,604,760	4,726	0.72%				
Other borrowings (6)		325,797		1,612	1.96%	320,562	2,015	2.50%				
Total interest-bearing liabilities	_	2,892,957		4,983	0.68%	2,925,322	6,741	0.92%				
Total interest-bearing natinties		2,092,937		4,983	0.0070	2,923,322	0,/41	0.927				
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:												
Demand deposits		673,823				587,478						
Other liabilities		39,838				41,908						
Total liabilities		3,606,618				3,554,708						
Stockholders' equity		431,312				440,122						
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	4,037,930				\$ 3,994,830						
		1,221,722				* *********						
Net interest income			\$	39,174			\$ 39,814					
Interest rate spread (7)					4.05%			4.12%				
Interest expense as a percent of average earning assets					0.53%			0.73%				
Net interest margin (8)					4.20%			4.31%				

- (1) Rates and yields are annualized and calculated from actual, not rounded amounts in thousands, which appear above.
- (2) Interest income on securities includes \$0 and \$46 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 in accretion of the fair market value adjustments.
- (3) Nonaccrual loans are included in average loans outstanding.
- (4) Interest income on loans includes \$471 thousand and \$825 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 in accretion of the fair market value adjustments related to the acquisitions.
- (5) Interest expense on certificates of deposits includes \$2 thousand and \$3 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 in accretion of the fair market value adjustments related to the acquisitions.
- (6) Interest expense on borrowings includes \$122 thousand for both the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 in amortization of the fair market value adjustments related to acquisitions.
- (7) Income and yields are reported on a taxable equivalent basis using the statutory federal corporate tax rate of 35%.
- (8) Core net interest margin excludes purchase accounting adjustments and was 4.16% and 4.23% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

# AVERAGE BALANCES, INCOME AND EXPENSES, YIELDS AND RATES (TAXABLE EQUIVALENT BASIS)

				2013		2012						
			Inte	rest Income /	Yield /		Inte	erest Income /	Yield / Rate			
	Ave	rage Balance		Expense	Rate (1)	Average Balance		Expense	(1)			
					(Dollars in t	housands)						
Assets:												
Securities:												
Taxable	\$	385,023	\$	5,856	2.03%	. ,	\$	9,488	2.69%			
Tax-exempt		217,874		9,438	5.79%	176,536		8,293	6.28%			
Total securities (2)		602,897		15,294	3.39%	647,791		17,781	3.67%			
Loans, net (3) (4)		2,979,514		114,413	5.13%	2,856,005		119,851	5.61%			
Loans held for sale		123,860		2,958	3.19%	86,989		2,123	3.26%			
Federal funds sold		462		1	0.22%	369		1	0.24%			
Money market investments		1		-	0.00%	8		-	0.00%			
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks		10,736		14	0.18%	30,895		58	0.25%			
Other interest-bearing deposits		-		-	0.00%	-		-	0.00%			
Total earning assets		3,717,470	-	132,680	4.77%	3,622,057		139,814	5.16%			
Allowance for loan losses		(34,903)				(40,595)		,				
Total non-earning assets		361,623				365,817						
Total assets	\$	4,044,190				\$ 3,947,279						
	_											
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity:												
Interest-bearing deposits:												
Checking	\$	455,002		258	0.08%	\$ 415,615		347	0.11%			
Money market savings	<u> </u>	946,277		1,797	0.25%	904,068		2,635	0.39%			
Regular savings		223,885		500	0.30%	194,729		512	0.35%			
Time deposits: (5)					******	,						
\$100,000 and over		485,710		4,552	1.25%	542,174		6,143	1.51%			
Under \$100,000		498,967		3,926	1.05%	568,078		5,447	1.28%			
Total interest-bearing deposits		2,609,841	_	11,033	0.57%	2,624,664	_	15,084	0.77%			
Other borrowings (6)		308,841		4,765	2.06%	290,418		6,401	2.94%			
Total interest-bearing liabilities		2,918,682			0.72%	2,915,082	_		0.99%			
Total interest-bearing nabinities		2,910,002		15,798	0.7270	2,913,082		21,485	0.9970			
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:												
Demand deposits		653,515				561,992						
Other liabilities		37,373				38,067						
Total liabilities		3,609,570				3,515,141						
Stockholders' equity		434,620				432,138						
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$											
Total nabilities and stockholders equity	<u> </u>	4,044,190				\$ 3,947,279						
Net interest income			•	116,882			0	110 220				
The merest medite			\$	110,882			\$	118,329				
Interest rate spread (7)					4.05%				4.17%			
Interest rate spread (/) Interest expense as a percent of average earning assets					0.57%				0.80%			
Net interest margin (8)					4.20%				4.36%			
rece meerese margin (0)					7.20/0				7.50/0			

- (1) Rates and yields are annualized and calculated from actual, not rounded amounts in thousands, which appear above.
- (2) Interest income on securities includes \$15 thousand and \$154 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 in accretion of the fair market value adjustments.
- (3) Nonaccrual loans are included in average loans outstanding.
- (4) Interest income on loans includes \$1.6 million and \$3.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 in accretion of the fair market value adjustments related to the acquisitions.
- (5) Interest expense on certificates of deposits includes \$5 thousand and \$231 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 in accretion of the fair market value adjustments related to the acquisitions.
- (6) Interest expense on borrowings includes \$367 thousand and \$366 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 in amortization of the fair market value adjustments related to acquisitions.
- (7) Income and yields are reported on a taxable equivalent basis using the statutory federal corporate tax rate of 35%.
- (8) Core net interest margin excludes purchase accounting adjustments and was 4.16% and 4.25% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

#### NONINTEREST INCOME

#### For the Three Months Ended

			Dolla	ars in thousands			
	09/30/13	06/30/13	\$	%	09/30/12	\$	%
Noninterest income:	,						
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 2,474	\$ 2,346	\$ 128	5.5%	\$ 2,222	\$ 252	11.3%
Other service charges, commissions and fees	3,185	3,222	(37)	-1.1%	2,768	417	15.1%
Gains (losses) on securities transactions, net	5	53	(48)	NM	(1)	6	NM
Gains on sales of mortgage loans, net of							
commissions	2,061	4,668	(2,607)	-55.8%	4,755	(2,694)	-56.7%
Losses on bank premises, net	(7)	(34)	27	NM	(309)	302	NM
Other operating income	1,498	1,044	454	43.5%	1,067	431	40.4%
Total noninterest income	\$ 9,216	\$ 11,299	\$ (2,083)	-18.4%	\$ 10,502	\$ (1,286)	-12.2%
Mortgage segment operations	\$ (2,062)	\$ (4,668)	\$ 2,606	-55.8%	\$ (4,756)	\$ 2,694	-56.6%
Intercompany eliminations	168	167	1	0.6%	117	51	43.6%
Community Bank segment	\$ 7,322	\$ 6,798	\$ 524	7.7%	\$ 5,863	\$ 1,459	24.9%

# NM - Not Meaningful

On a linked quarter basis, noninterest income decreased \$2.1 million, or 18.4%, to \$9.2 million from \$11.3 million in the second quarter. Excluding mortgage segment operations, noninterest income increased \$524,000, or 7.7%. Service charges on deposit accounts increased \$128,000 primarily related to higher overdraft and returned check fees. Other operating income increased \$454,000, or 43.5%, related to income that was previously deferred and earned in the current quarter, a credit card service performance rebate, and receipt of insurance policy proceeds. Gains on sales of mortgage loans, net of commissions, decreased \$2.6 million, or 55.8%, as rising mortgage interest rates led to gain on sale margin compression and declines in mortgage loan originations, which decreased by \$79.3 million, or 26.6%, in the current quarter to \$218.9 million from \$298.2 million in the second quarter. Of the loan originations in the current quarter, 28.6% were refinances, which was down from 38.4% in the second quarter. Included in the current quarter gain on sale of mortgage loans was an increase of \$246,000 in the indemnification reserve related to mortgage loans previously sold, resulting in a reduction in the gain.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2013, noninterest income decreased \$1.3 million, or 12.2%, to \$9.2 million from \$10.5 million in the prior year's third quarter. Excluding mortgage segment operations, noninterest income increased \$1.5 million, or 24.9%, from the same period a year ago. Service charges on deposit accounts increased \$252,000 primarily related to higher overdraft and returned check fees as well as service charges on savings accounts. Other service charges, commissions and fees increased \$417,000 primarily due to higher net interchange fee income and fees on letters of credit. Losses on bank premises declined \$302,000 due to the write down of a former branch location in the prior year. Other operating income increased \$431,000, or 40.4%, related to income that was previously deferred and earned in the current quarter, a credit card service performance rebate, and receipt of insurance policy proceeds. Gains on sales of mortgage loans, net of commissions, decreased \$2.7 million, or 56.7%, primarily due to lower loan origination volume and gain on sale margin compression due to rising mortgage interest rates. Mortgage loan originations decreased by \$104.2 million, or 32.3%, in the current quarter to \$218.9 million from \$323.1 million in the third quarter of 2012. Included in the current quarter gain on sale of mortgage loans was an increase of \$246,000 in the indemnification reserve related to mortgage loans previously sold, resulting in a reduction in the gain.

### For the Nine Months Ended

Dollars in thousands 09/30/13 09/30/12 % Noninterest income: Service charges on deposit accounts 7,093 6,643 450 \$ \$ 6.8% 1,099 Other service charges, commissions and fees 9,214 8,115 13.5% Gains on securities transactions 47 4 43 NM 10,581 11,352 (771)-6.8% Gains on sales of mortgage loans, net of commissions (Losses) gains on bank premises (337)34 (371)NM 21.6% Other operating income 3,751 3.084 667 Total noninterest income 30,349 29,232 1,117 3.8% Mortgage segment operations (10,586)(11,356) 770 -6.8% Intercompany eliminations 42.9% 503 151 352 Community Bank segment 11.2% 20,266 18,228 2,038

NM - Not Meaningful

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, noninterest income increased \$1.1 million, or 3.8%, to \$30.3 million, from \$29.2 million a year ago. Excluding mortgage segment operations, noninterest income increased \$2.0 million, or 11.2%, from the same period a year ago. Service charges on deposit accounts increased \$450,000 primarily related to higher overdraft and returned check fees as well as service charges on savings accounts. Other account service charges and fees increased \$1.1 million due to higher net interchange fee income, revenue on retail investment products, and fees on letters of credit. Other operating income increased \$667,000 primarily related to increased income on bank owned life insurance and insurance related revenues. Conversely, gains on bank premises decreased \$371,000 as the Company recorded a loss in the current year on the closure of bank premises coupled with gains in the prior year related to sale of bank premises. Gains on sales of mortgage loans, net of commissions, decreased \$771,000 driven by lower gain on sale margins in 2013, partly due to reductions resulting from valuation reserves of \$363,000 related to aged mortgage loans held-for-sale as well as an increase of \$277,000 in the indemnification reserve related to mortgage loans previously sold.

### NONINTEREST EXPENSE

# For the Three Months Ended

				Dolla	ars in thousands			
	 09/30/13	06/30/13		\$	%	09/30/12	\$	%
Noninterest expense:	 		,					
Salaries and benefits	\$ 17,416	\$ 17,912	\$	(496)	-2.8% \$	17,116	\$ 300	1.8%
Occupancy expenses	2,820	2,764		56	2.0%	3,262	(442)	-13.5%
Furniture and equipment expenses	1,665	1,741		(76)	-4.4%	1,809	(144)	-8.0%
OREO and credit-related expenses (1)	1,601	984		617	62.7%	1,035	566	54.7%
Acquisition-related expenses	473	919		(446)	NM	-	473	NM
Other operating expenses	10,157	9,963		194	1.9%	10,046	111	1.1%
Total noninterest expense	\$ 34,132	\$ 34,283	\$	(151)	-0.4% \$	33,268	\$ 864	2.6%
Mortgage segment operations	\$ (4,396)	\$ (4,657)	\$	261	-5.6% \$	(3,676)	\$ (720)	19.6%
Intercompany eliminations	168	167		1	0.6%	117	51	43.6%
Community Bank segment	\$ 29,904	\$ 29,793	\$	111	0.4% \$	29,709	\$ 195	0.7%

NM - Not Meaningful

On a linked quarter basis, noninterest expense decreased \$151,000, or 0.4%, to \$34.1 million from \$34.3 million when compared to the second quarter. Excluding mortgage segment operations and acquisition-related costs, noninterest expense increased \$557,000, or 1.9%, compared to the second quarter. Other real estate owned ("OREO") and credit-related costs increased \$617,000 from the prior quarter due to valuation adjustments of \$491,000, higher losses on the sales of OREO of \$66,000, and increased credit-related legal fees of \$108,000 in the current quarter. Salary-related expenses declined \$496,000 primarily related to reduced levels of incentive compensation and seasonal payroll taxes in the current quarter and severance expense recorded in the prior quarter related to the relocation of Union Mortgage Group, Inc.'s headquarters to Richmond.

<sup>(1)</sup> OREO related costs include foreclosure related expenses, gains/losses on the sale of OREO, valuation reserves, and asset resolution related legal expenses.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2013, noninterest expense increased \$864,000, or 2.6%, to \$34.1 million from \$33.3 million for the third quarter of 2012. Excluding mortgage segment operations and acquisition-related costs, noninterest expense declined \$278,000, or 0.9%, compared to the third quarter of 2012. Salaries and benefits expenses increased \$300,000 primarily related to the costs associated with strategic investments in mortgage segment personnel in 2012 and 2013. OREO and credit-related costs increased \$566,000, as the Company recorded valuation adjustments of \$491,000 and incurred higher losses on the sales of OREO of \$50,000 in the current quarter compared to the same quarter in 2012. These increases were partially offset by declines in occupancy expenses of \$442,000 and furniture and equipment expenses of \$144,000, primarily due to branch closures in 2012.

For the Nine Months Ended

		- 0-		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	tiis Enucu	
			Dollars in	thoi	ısands	
0	9/30/13	0	9/30/12		\$	%
	,		,			
\$	53,294	\$	51,027	\$	2,267	4.4%
	8,439		9,001		(562)	-6.2%
	5,250		5,440		(190)	-3.5%
	3,159		3,273		(114)	-3.5%
	1,393		-		1,393	NM
	30,380		30,402		(22)	-0.1%
\$	101,915	\$	99,143	\$	2,772	2.8%
\$	(13,176)	\$	(9,715)	\$	(3,461)	35.6%
	503		352		151	42.9%
\$	89,242	\$	89,780	\$	(538)	-0.6%
	\$	99/30/13 \$ 53,294 8,439 5,250 3,159 1,393 30,380 \$ 101,915 \$ (13,176) 503	\$ 53,294 \$ 8,439 \$ 5,250 \$ 3,159 \$ 1,393 \$ 30,380 \$ 101,915 \$ \$ (13,176) \$ 503	Dollars in           09/30/13         09/30/12           \$ 53,294         \$ 51,027           8,439         9,001           5,250         5,440           3,159         3,273           1,393         -           30,380         30,402           \$ 101,915         \$ 99,143           \$ (13,176)         \$ (9,715)           503         352	Dollars in thou           09/30/13         09/30/12           \$ 53,294         \$ 51,027           8,439         9,001           5,250         5,440           3,159         3,273           1,393         -           30,380         30,402           \$ 101,915         \$ 99,143           \$ (13,176)         \$ (9,715)           503         352	Dollars in thousands           09/30/13         09/30/12         \$           \$ 53,294         \$ 51,027         \$ 2,267           8,439         9,001         (562)           5,250         5,440         (190)           3,159         3,273         (114)           1,393         -         1,393           30,380         30,402         (22)           \$ 101,915         \$ 99,143         \$ 2,772           \$ (13,176)         \$ (9,715)         \$ (3,461)           503         352         151

NM - Not Meaningful

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, noninterest expense increased \$2.8 million, or 2.8%, to \$101.9 million, from \$99.1 million a year ago. Excluding mortgage segment operations and acquisition-related costs incurred in 2013, noninterest expense declined \$1.9 million, or 2.2%, compared to the same period in 2012. Salaries and benefits expense increased \$2.3 million due to costs associated with strategic investments in mortgage segment personnel in 2012 and 2013, severance expense recorded in the current year related to the relocation of Union Mortgage Group, Inc.'s headquarters to Richmond, group insurance cost increases, and management incentive payments related to higher earnings. Occupancy expenses decreased \$562,000 and furniture and equipment expenses declined \$190,000, primarily due to branch closures in 2012.

### Community Bank Segment

On a linked quarter basis, the community bank segment's net income was consistent with the second quarter at \$9.2 million; excluding after-tax acquisition-related costs in the current and prior quarters of \$471,000 and \$919,000, respectively, net income decreased \$436,000, or 4.3%. Net interest income was \$37.5 million, an increase of \$505,000, or 1.4%, from \$37.0 million during the second quarter of 2013. The increase in net interest income was driven by higher average loan balances, the decline in the cost of funds and the higher day count in the current quarter. Loan yields continued to be negatively affected by the low interest rate environment as new and renewed loans were originated and repriced at lower rates. Yields on investment securities were largely unchanged for the quarter, as prepayment speeds in taxable securities slowed and a shift in mix from taxable securities to higher yielding tax-exempt securities continued. The cost of interest-bearing liabilities declined during the quarter largely driven by lower time deposit account balances. In addition, the Company increased its provision for loan losses by \$800,000 during the quarter due to the impact of higher linked quarter net charge-offs on the historical loss factor.

<sup>(1)</sup> OREO related costs include foreclosure related expenses, gains/losses on the sale of OREO, valuation reserves, and asset resolution related legal expenses.

Noninterest income increased \$524,000, or 7.7%, to \$7.3 million from \$6.8 million in the second quarter, primarily related to an increase in other operating income of \$454,000 related to income that was previously deferred and earned in the current quarter, a credit card service performance rebate, and receipt of insurance policy proceeds. Service charges on deposit accounts increased \$128,000 primarily related to higher overdraft and returned check fees.

Noninterest expense increased \$111,000, or 0.4%, to \$29.9 million from \$29.8 million when compared to the second quarter. Excluding acquisition-related expenses in the current and prior quarters of \$473,000 and \$919,000, respectively, noninterest expense increased \$557,000, or 1.9%, from the prior quarter. The overall expense increase is primarily driven by higher OREO and credit-related costs of \$584,000, as the Company incurred a valuation adjustment of \$491,000 and higher losses on the sales of OREO.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, the community bank segment's net income of \$9.2 million increased \$414,000, or 4.7%, from the prior year's third quarter; excluding after-tax acquisition-related costs of \$471,000, net income increased \$885,000, or 10.1%. Net interest income declined \$963,000, or 2.5%, to \$37.5 million due to a decline in earning asset yields exceeding the reduction in interest-bearing liabilities rates paid. Lower earning asset interest income was principally due to lower yields on loans as new and renewed loans were originated and repriced at lower rates, faster prepayments on mortgage-backed securities, and cash flows from securities investments reinvested at lower yields. The decline in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities from the prior year's third quarter was driven by a shift in mix from time deposits to demand deposits, reductions in deposit rates and lower wholesale borrowing costs. In addition, the Company's provision for loan losses was \$600,000 lower than the same quarter of the prior year primarily due to continued improvement in asset quality.

Noninterest income increased \$1.4 million, or 24.9%, to \$7.3 million from \$5.9 million in the prior year's third quarter. Of this increase, service charges on deposit accounts increased \$252,000 primarily related to higher overdraft and returned check fees as well as service charges on savings accounts, while other service charges, commissions and fees increased \$417,000 primarily due to higher net interchange fee income and fees on letters of credit. Also contributing to the increase were lower losses on bank premises of \$302,000 due to the write down of a former branch location in the prior year.

Noninterest expense increased \$195,000, or 0.7%, to \$29.9 million from \$29.7 million when compared to the third quarter of 2012. Driving the increase were higher OREO and credit-related costs of \$531,000, as the Company incurred a valuation adjustment of \$491,000 and higher losses on the sales of OREO of \$50,000 in the current quarter compared to the same quarter in 2012. These increases were partially offset by declines in occupancy expenses of \$452,000 and furniture and equipment expenses of \$190,000, primarily due to branch closures in 2012. Excluding acquisition-related expenses of \$473,000 incurred in the third quarter of 2013, noninterest expense decreased \$278,000, or 0.9%, from the same period in 2012.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the community bank segment's net income increased \$2.7 million, or 11.3%, to \$27.2 million when compared to the same period a year ago; excluding after-tax acquisition-related costs of \$1.4 million in 2013, net income increased \$4.1 million, or 17.0%. Net interest income decreased \$2.6 million, or 2.3%, to \$111.6 million when compared to the prior year. The decline in the net interest income was principally due to a decline in the yield on interest-earning assets that outpaced the reduction in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities. Lower interest-earning asset income was principally due to lower yields on loans as new loans and renewed loans were originated and repriced at lower rates and declining investment securities yields driven by faster prepayments on mortgage-backed securities and cash flows from securities investments reinvested at lower yields. In addition, the Company's provision for loan losses was \$4.1 million lower than the prior year primarily due to continued improvement in asset quality.

Noninterest income increased \$2.0 million, or 11.2%, to \$20.3 million, from \$18.3 million a year ago. Of this increase, other account service charges and fees increased \$1.1 million due to higher net interchange fee income, revenue on retail investment products, and fees on letters of credit. Other operating income increased \$720,000 primarily related to increased income on bank owned life insurance and insurance related revenues. Service charges on deposit accounts increased \$450,000 primarily related to higher overdraft and returned check fees as well as service charges on savings accounts. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in gains on bank premises of \$371,000 as the Company recorded a loss in the current year on the closure of bank premises coupled with gains in the prior year related to the sale of bank premises.

Noninterest expense decreased \$538,000, or 0.6%, to \$89.2 million, from \$89.8 million a year ago. Excluding acquisition-related expenses of \$1.4 million in 2013, noninterest expense decreased \$1.9 million, or 2.1%, from the prior year period. Occupancy expenses declined \$775,000 largely related to lower rent and maintenance costs related to prior year branch closures, and amortization on acquired intangible assets declined \$1.1 million.

### MORTGAGE SEGMENT INFORMATION

On a linked quarter basis, the mortgage segment reported a net loss of \$1.2 million for the third quarter compared to net income of \$294,000 in the second quarter, representing a decrease of \$1.5 million. Beginning in May 2013, rising mortgage interest rates have negatively affected mortgage loan origination volumes and gain on sale margins resulting in lower net gains on sales revenue. During the quarter, the mortgage segment experienced a decline in mortgage originations of \$79.3 million, or 26.6%, from \$298.2 million in the second quarter to \$218.9 million. Refinanced volume decreased \$51.9 million, or 45.3%, from \$114.5 million, which represented 38.4% of total originations, in the prior quarter to \$62.6 million, which represented 28.6% of total originations. As a result, gains on sales of mortgage loans sold, net of commission expenses, decreased \$2.6 million, or 55.8%, to \$2.1 million from \$4.7 million in the second quarter. Included in the current quarter gain on sale of mortgage loans was a reduction resulting from a \$246,000 increase in the indemnification reserve related to mortgage loans previously sold. Salaries and benefits expenses declined \$522,000, primarily related to severance expenses incurred in the second quarter and lower current quarter salaries and overtime expenses due to management actions taken as a result of lower loan origination levels.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, the mortgage segment reported a net loss of \$1.2 million compared to net income of \$859,000 for the same period last year, representing a decline of \$2.1 million. Mortgage loan originations decreased by \$104.2 million, or 32.3%, to \$218.9 million from \$323.1 million in the prior year driven by higher mortgage interest rates and lower refinanced loan demand. Refinanced volume decreased \$123.5 million, or 66.4%, from \$186.1 million, which represented 57.6% of total originations, in the third quarter of 2012 to \$62.6 million, which represented 28.6% of total originations. During the current quarter, the Company recorded gains on the sale of mortgage loans, net of commission expenses, of \$2.1 million, which were \$2.7 million, or 56.7%, lower than the same period last year primarily due to lower loan origination volumes and gain on sale margin compression driven by the rise in mortgage loan interest rates in the current quarter. Included in the current quarter gain on sale of mortgage loans was a reduction resulting from a \$246,000 increase in the indemnification reserve related to mortgage loans previously sold. Expenses increased \$720,000, or 19.6%, over the same quarter last year primarily related to increases in contract labor of \$245,000, loan-related expenses of \$174,000, and legal and other professional fees of \$110,000.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the mortgage segment incurred a net loss of \$761,000 compared to net income of \$1.6 million during the same period last year, representing a decline of \$2.3 million. Mortgage loan originations increased by \$20.8 million, or 2.7%, to \$785.2 million from \$764.4 million during the same period last year due to the full year impact of the additional mortgage loan officers added in the first half of 2012. Gains on sales of mortgage loans, net of commission expenses, decreased \$771,000, or 6.8%, driven by lower gain on sale margins in 2013, partly due to reductions resulting from valuation reserves of \$363,000 related to aged mortgage loans held-for-sale as well as an increase of \$277,000 in the indemnification reserve related to mortgage loans previously sold. Expenses increased by \$3.5 million, or 35.6%, over the same period last year primarily due to increases in salary and benefit expenses of \$2.3 million related to the costs associated with the addition of mortgage loan originators and support personnel in 2012, investments made in the current year to enhance the mortgage segment's operating capabilities, and severance payments made in the second quarter related to the relocation of Union Mortgage Group, Inc.'s headquarters to Richmond. In addition, expenses increased due to higher mortgage branch rent expenses of \$226,000, loan-related expenses of \$356,000, and legal and other professional fees of \$206,000.

While management is taking steps to recalibrate the mortgage segment's cost structure to align with declining mortgage origination levels, in the near term, the return to profitability in the mortgage segment is dependent on increased mortgage production volumes and/or higher gain on sale margins from third quarter levels.

#### Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes is based upon the results of operations, adjusted for the effect of certain tax-exempt income and non-deductible expenses. In addition, certain items of income and expense are reported in different periods for financial reporting and tax return purposes. The tax effects of these temporary differences are recognized currently in the deferred income tax provision or benefit. Deferred tax assets or liabilities are computed based on the difference between the financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities using the applicable enacted marginal tax rate.

The Company evaluates the likelihood that deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income. If any such assets are not likely to be recovered, a valuation allowance must be recognized. The Company has determined that a valuation allowance is not required for deferred tax assets as of September 30, 2013. The assessment of the carrying value of deferred tax assets is based on certain assumptions. Changes in these assumptions could have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

The effective tax rate for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was 28.7% and 27.9%, and 29.2% and 28.6%, respectively.

#### BALANCE SHEET

At September 30, 2013, total assets were \$4.0 billion, an increase of \$18.9 million from September 30, 2012, and a decrease of \$48.8 million from the year ended December 31, 2012. Total cash and cash equivalents were \$75.1 million at September 30, 2013, an increase of \$12.7 million from the same period last year, and a decrease of \$7.8 million from December 31, 2012. Investment in securities declined \$32.7 million, or 5.2%, from \$622.1 million at September 30, 2012 to \$589.4 million at September 30, 2013, and increased \$4.1 million from December 31, 2012. Mortgage loans held for sale were \$58.2 million, a decrease of \$83.8 million from September 30, 2012, and a decline of \$109.5 million from December 31, 2012.

At September 30, 2013, loans (net of unearned income) were \$3.0 billion, an increase of \$93.7 million, or 3.2%, from September 30, 2012, and an increase of \$35.4 million from December 31, 2012. Average loans outstanding increased \$123.5 million, or 4.3%, since September 30, 2012 and increased \$103.6 million, or 3.6%, from December 31, 2012.

As of September 30, 2013, total deposits were \$3.2 billion, an increase of \$25.1 million, or 0.8%, when compared to September 30, 2012, and a decrease of \$72.8 million, or 2.2%, from December 31, 2012. Year over year deposit growth was driven by increases in low cost deposit levels, which grew \$92.9 million, while the drop in deposits since year end was driven by lower time deposit balances of \$125.4 million, partially offset by an increase in low cost deposits of \$51.3 million.

As of September 30, 2012, net short term borrowings declined \$2.9 million, or 1.9% from September 30, 2012. From December 31, 2012, net short term borrowing increased \$18.9 million, or 14.3%, primarily related to increased customer demand for repurchase agreements.

The Company's capital ratios continued to be considered "well capitalized" for regulatory purposes. The Company's ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets was 14.40% and 15.00% on September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company's ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets was 13.13% and 13.44% at September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company's common equity to asset ratios at September 30, 2013 and 2012 were 10.72% and 11.00%, respectively, while its tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio was 9.09% and 9.27% at September 30, 2013 and 2012. During the first quarter, the Company entered into an agreement to purchase 500,000 shares of its common stock from Markel Corporation, the Company's largest shareholder, for an aggregate purchase price of \$9,500,000, or \$19.00 per share. The repurchase was funded with cash on hand and the shares were retired. During the third quarter, the Company did not repurchase any shares. The Company is authorized to repurchase an additional 250,000 shares under its current repurchase program authorization, which expires December 31, 2013. Also, the Company paid a dividend of \$0.14 per share during the current quarter, an increase of \$0.01 from the prior quarter and an increase of \$0.04 per share from the same quarter a year ago.

#### Securities

At September 30, 2013, the Company had total investments, in the amount of \$609.0 million, or 15.0% of total assets, as compared to \$606.1 million, or 14.8% of total assets, at December 31, 2012. The Company seeks to diversify its portfolio to minimize risk. It focuses on purchasing mortgage-backed securities for cash flow and reinvestment opportunities and securities issued by states and political subdivisions due to the tax benefits and the higher yield offered from these securities. All of the Company's mortgage-backed securities are investment grade. The investment portfolio has a high percentage of municipals and mortgage-backed securities; therefore a higher taxable equivalent yield exists on the portfolio compared to its peers. The Company does not engage in structured derivative or hedging activities within the investment portfolio.

The table below sets forth a summary of the securities available for sale and restricted stock, at fair value for the following periods (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 2,631	\$ 2,849
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	237,241	229,778
Corporate and other bonds	5,544	7,212
Mortgage-backed securities	340,425	342,174
Other securities	3,596	3,369
Total securities available for sale, at fair value	589,437	585,382
Federal Reserve Bank stock	6,754	6,754
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	12,777	13,933
Total restricted stock	19,531	20,687
Total investments	\$ 608,968	\$ 606,069

During each quarter and at year end, the Company conducts an assessment of the securities portfolio for other than temporary impairment ("OTTI") consideration. The Company determined that a single issuer Trust Preferred security incurred credit-related OTTI of \$400,000 during the year ended December 31, 2011; there is no remaining unrealized loss for this issue as of September 30, 2013. No OTTI was recognized in 2012 or during the nine months of 2013. The Company monitors the portfolio, which is subject to liquidity needs, market rate changes, and credit risk changes, to determine whether adjustments are needed. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

The following table summarizes the contractual maturity of securities available for sale at fair value and their weighted average yields as of September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

					Over 10 Years and Equity	
	1 Year or Less		1 - 5 Years	5 - 10 Years	Securities	Total
U.S. government and agency securities:						
Amortized cost	\$	- \$	1,715	\$ -	*	\$ 1,775
Fair value		-	1,762	-	869	2,631
Weighted average yield (1)		-	2.89	-	-	2.79
Mortgage backed securities:						
Amortized cost	295	5	8,105	25,930	303,681	338,011
Fair value	299	)	8,513	26,874	304,739	340,425
Weighted average yield (1)	4.44	ļ	3.88	3.48	2.54	2.64
Obligations of states and political subdivisions:						
Amortized cost	2,861	l	6,787	47,838	179,354	236,840
Fair value	2,921	l	7,024	49,831	177,465	237,241
Weighted average yield (1)	8.25	5	6.37	6.22	5.39	5.62
Other securities:						
Amortized cost	3,614	1	740	64	4,780	9,198
Fair value	3,596	5	775	66	4,703	9,140
Weighted average yield (1)	2.00	)	4.99	5.04	8.35	5.56
Total securities available for sale:						
Amortized cost	6,770	)	17,347	73,832	487,875	585,824
Fair value	6,816	5	18,074	76,771	487,776	589,437
Weighted average yield (1)	4.75	5	4.80	5.25	3.64	3.89

<sup>(1)</sup> Yields on tax-exempt securities have been computed on a tax-equivalent basis.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company maintained a diversified municipal bond portfolio with approximately 71% of its holdings in general obligation issues and the remainder backed by revenue bonds. Issuances within the Commonwealth of Virginia represented 10% and issuances within the State of Texas represented 24% of the municipal portfolio; no other state had a concentration above 10%. Approximately 91% of municipal holdings are considered investment grade by Moody's or Standard & Poor. The non-investment grade securities are principally insured Texas municipalities with no underlying rating. When purchasing municipal securities, the Company focuses on strong underlying ratings for general obligation issuers or bonds backed by essential service revenues.

### Liquidity

Liquidity represents an institution's ability to meet present and future financial obligations through either the sale or maturity of existing assets or the acquisition of additional funds through liability management. Liquid assets include cash, interest-bearing deposits with banks, money market investments, federal funds sold, securities available for sale, loans held for sale, and loans maturing or re-pricing within one year. Additional sources of liquidity available to the Company include its capacity to borrow additional funds when necessary through federal funds lines with several correspondent banks, a line of credit with the FHLB, the purchase of brokered certificates of deposit, and a corporate line of credit with a large correspondent bank. Management considers the Company's overall liquidity to be sufficient to satisfy its depositors' requirements and to meet its customers' credit needs.

As of September 30, 2013, cash, interest-bearing deposits in other banks, money market investments, federal funds sold, loans held for sale, investment securities, and loans that mature within one year totaled \$1.1 billion, or 30.9%, of total earning assets. As of September 30, 2013, approximately \$989.4 million, or 33.0%, of total loans are scheduled to mature within one year based on contractual maturity, adjusted for expected prepayments.

# Loan Portfolio

Loans, net of unearned income, were \$3.0 billion and \$2.9 billion at September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively. Loans secured by real estate continue to represent the Company's largest category, comprising 83.7% of the total loan portfolio at September 30, 2013.

The following table presents the Company's composition of loans, net of unearned income, in dollar amounts and as a percentage of total gross loans (dollars in thousands) as of:

	September 30 2013	),	June 30 2013	,	March 201		Decem 20	ber 31, 12	•	nber 30,
Loans secured by real estate:										
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 473,967	15.8%	\$ 478,356	15.9%	\$ 473,071	15.9%	\$ 472,985	15.9%	\$ 449,032	15.4%
Commercial	1,085,971	36.2%	1,104,915	36.8%	1,068,812	35.9%	1,044,396	35.2%	1,034,954	35.6%
Construction, land development and other										
land loans	469,867	15.7%	456,730	15.2%	467,436	15.7%	470,638	15.9%	466,330	16.0%
Second mortgages	36,185	1.2%	37,862	1.3%	37,337	1.3%	39,925	1.3%	48,912	1.7%
Equity lines of credit	300,329	10.0%	298,572	9.9%	301,700	10.1%	307,668	10.4%	309,691	10.6%
Multifamily	123,594	4.1%	122,942	4.1%	127,356	4.3%	140,038	4.7%	141,092	4.9%
Farm land	21,082	0.7%	22,130	0.7%	23,570	0.8%	22,776	0.8%	23,815	0.8%
Total real estate loans	2,510,995	83.7%	2,521,507	83.9%	2,499,282	84.0%	2,498,426	84.2%	2,473,826	85.0%
Commercial Loans	185,910	6.2%	182,439	6.1%	182,914	6.2%	186,528	6.3%	174,121	6.0%
Consumer installment loans										
Personal	240,549	8.0%	235,837	7.9%	230,189	7.7%	222,812	7.5%	226,102	7.8%
Credit cards	21,978	0.7%	21,878	0.7%	21,204	0.7%	21,968	0.7%	20,332	0.7%
Total consumer installment loans	262,527	8.7%	257,715	8.6%	251,393	8.4%	244,780	8.2%	246,434	8.5%
All other loans	42,814	1.4%	39,194	1.4%	39,958	1.4%	37,113	1.3%	14,129	0.5%
Gross loans	\$ 3,002,246	100.0%	\$ 3,000,855	100.0%	\$ 2,973,547	100.0%	\$ 2,966,847	100.0%	\$ 2,908,510	100.0%

The following table presents the remaining maturities, based on contractual maturity, by loan type and by rate type (variable or fixed), as of September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

					Var	riable Rate			Fi	xed Rate	
	Total	Maturities	ss than 1 year	Total	1	1-5 years	re than 5 years	Total	1	-5 years	lore than 5 years
Loans secured by real estate:	·										
Residential 1-4 family	\$	473,967	\$ 71,048	\$ 71,120	\$	20,161	\$ 50,959	\$ 331,799	\$	197,655	\$ 134,144
Commercial		1,085,971	192,827	101,700		88,817	12,883	791,444		520,001	271,443
Construction, land development and other land loans		469,867	313,755	8,749		5,338	3,411	147,363		125,521	21,842
Second mortgages		36,185	6,310	1,011		419	592	28,864		14,350	14,514
Equity lines of credit		300,329	187,555	664		621	43	112,110		18,248	93,862
Multifamily		123,594	17,228	6,931		6,931	-	99,435		78,725	20,710
Farm land		21,082	14,287	516		475	41	6,279		5,766	513
Total real estate loans		2,510,995	803,010	190,691		122,762	67,929	1,517,294		960,266	557,028
Commercial Loans		185,910	82,463	147		147	-	103,300		80,054	23,246
Consumer installment loans											
Personal		240,549	7,813	-		-	-	232,736		104,791	127,945
Credit cards		21,978	21,978	-		-	-	-		-	-
Total consumer installment loans		262,527	29,791					232,736		104,791	127,945
All other loans		42,814	11,967	3,339		3,339	_	27,508		4,397	23,111
Gross loans	\$	3,002,246	\$ 927,231	\$ 194,177	\$	126,248	\$ 67,929	\$ 1,880,838	\$	1,149,508	\$ 731,330

While the current economic environment is challenging, the Company remains committed to originating soundly underwritten loans to qualifying borrowers within its markets. The Company is focused on providing community-based financial services and discourages the origination of portfolio loans outside of its principal trade areas. As reflected in the loan table, at September 30, 2013, the largest component of the Company's loan portfolio consisted of real estate loans, concentrated in commercial, construction, and residential 1-4 family. The risks attributable to these concentrations are mitigated by the Company's credit underwriting and monitoring processes, including oversight by a centralized credit administration function and credit policy and risk management committee, as well as seasoned bankers focusing their lending to borrowers with proven track records in markets with which the Company is familiar. Union Mortgage Group, Inc. serves as a mortgage brokerage operation, selling the majority of its loan production in the secondary market or selling loans to meet Union First Market Bank's current asset/liability management needs.

# Asset Quality

#### Overview

During the third quarter, the Company continued to reduce the levels of impaired loans, troubled debt restructurings, and nonperforming assets, which were at their lowest levels since the fourth quarter of 2009. Additionally, total past due loans remained stable from the prior quarter and declined from the same quarter last year. Net charge-offs, the related ratio of net charge-offs to total loans, and the loan loss provision also decreased from the same quarter of the previous year but increased from the prior quarter due to the charge-off of loans specifically reserved for in prior periods. The allowance to nonperforming loans coverage ratio was at the highest level since the fourth quarter of 2008. The magnitude of any change in the real estate market and its impact on the Company is still largely dependent upon continued recovery of residential housing and commercial real estate and the pace at which the local economies in the Company's operating markets improve.

### Troubled Debt Restructurings ("TDRs")

The total recorded investment in TDRs as of September 30, 2013 was \$47.9 million, a decrease of \$15.6 million, or 24.6%, from \$63.5 million at December 31, 2012 and a decline of \$15.9 million, or 24.9%, from \$63.8 million at September 30, 2012. Of the \$47.9 million of TDRs at September 30, 2013, \$39.3 million, or 82.0%, were considered performing while the remaining \$8.6 million were considered nonperforming. The decline in the TDR balance from December 31, 2012 is attributable to \$11.9 million in net payments, \$8.6 million being removed from TDR status, \$1.6 million in transfers to OREO, and \$1.6 million in charge-offs, partially offset by additions of \$8.1 million. Loans removed from TDR status represent restructured loans with a market rate of interest at the time of the restructuring, which were performing in accordance with their modified terms for a consecutive twelve month period and that were no longer considered impaired. Loans removed from TDR status are collectively evaluated for impairment and due to the significant improvement in the expected future cash flows, these loans are grouped based on their primary risk characteristics, typically using the Company's internal risk rating system as its primary credit quality indicator, and impairment is measured based on historical loss experience taking into consideration environmental factors. The significant majority of these loans have been subject to new credit decisions due to the improvement in the expected future cash flows, the financial condition of the borrower, and other factors considered during underwriting. The TDR activity during the quarter did not have a material impact on the Company's allowance for loan losses, financial condition, or results of operations.

The following table provides a summary, by class and modification type, of modified loans that continue to accrue interest under the terms of the restructuring agreement, which are considered to be performing, and modified loans that have been placed in nonaccrual status, which are considered to be nonperforming, as of September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

			Performing				No	nperformir	ıg			Total	
	No. of Loans	R	ecorded vestment	(	Outstanding Commitment	No. of Loans	Re	ecorded	0	utstanding ommitment	No. of Loans	Recorded Investment	Outstanding Commitment
Modified to Interest Only, at a market rate			,										
Commercial:													
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied	3	\$	826	\$	-	-	\$	-	\$	-	3	\$ 826	\$
Raw Land and Lots	4		286		-	-		-		-	4	286	
Single Family Investment Real Estate	3		250		-	-		-		-	3	250	
Consumer:													
Mortgage	3		633		-	1		599		-	4	1,232	
Indirect Marine	_		-		-	1		130		-	1	130	
Total modified to interest only	13	\$	1,995	\$	-	2	\$	729	\$		15	\$ 2,724	\$
Term Modification, at a market rate													
Commercial:													
Commercial Construction	1	\$	653	\$	-	2	\$	545	\$	-	3	\$ 1,198	\$
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	6		5,233		-	2		890		-	8	6,123	
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied	3		1,314		-	-		-		-	3	1,314	
Raw Land and Lots	5		15,087		-	1		550		-	6	15,637	
Single Family Investment Real Estate	8		2,747		-	-				-	8	2,747	
Commercial and Industrial	5		1,172		-	9		1,208		-	14	2,380	
Consumer:													
Mortgage	9		1,785			1		202		-	10	1,987	
Other Consumer	3		252		_	_				_	3	252	
Total term modification, at a market rate	40	\$	28,243	\$		15	\$	3,395	\$	-	55	\$ 31,638	\$
Term Modification, below market rate													
Commercial:													
Commercial Construction		S		s			s	249	S	_	1	\$ 249	S
Commercial Construction  Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	-	2		3		1	2	326	3	-	2	326	3
Raw Land and Lots	6		5,434		-	1		3,437		-	7	8,871	
Single Family Investment Real Estate	2		520			2		406			4	926	
Commercial and Industrial	-		520		-	1		406			1	926	
Consumer:	-		-		-	1				-	1	0	
Mortgage	3		705			_					3	705	
Other Consumer	3		/03		-	-				-	3		
Total term modification, below market rate		_		_	<u> </u>	1		63	_	-	1	63	
Total term modification, below market rate	11	\$	6,659	\$	<del></del>	9	\$	4,489	\$		20	\$ 11,148	\$
Interest Rate Modification, below market rate													
Commercial:													•
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied	2	\$	2,390	\$	-		\$		\$	<u> </u>	2	\$ 2,390	\$
Total interest rate modification, below market rate	2	\$	2,390	\$			\$		\$	-	2	\$ 2,390	\$
Total	66	\$	39,287	\$	-	26	\$	8,613	S	-	92	\$ 47,900	S

The following table provides a summary, by class and modification type, of modified loans that continue to accrue interest under the terms of the restructuring agreement, which are considered to be performing, and modified loans that have been placed in nonaccrual status, which are considered to be nonperforming, as of December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

		Pe	erforming				No	nperformir	ıg				Total		
	No. of Loans		ecorded estment		tanding mitment	No. of Loans		corded estment	Outstar Commi		No. of Loans		ecorded vestment		tanding nitment
Modified to Interest Only, at a market rate															
Commercial:															
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	1	\$	216	\$	-	-	\$	-	\$	-	1	\$	216	\$	
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied	2		633		-	2		514		-	4		1,147		
Raw Land and Lots	3		257		-	-		-		-	3		257		
Single Family Investment Real Estate	3		261		-	-		-		-	3		261		
Consumer:															
Mortgage	2		510		-	-		-		-	2		510		
Indirect Marine	-		-		-	1		158		-	1		158		
Total modified to interest only	11	\$	1,877	\$		3	\$	672	\$		14	\$	2,549	\$	
Term Modification, at a market rate															
Commercial:															
Commercial Construction	5	\$	4,549	\$	73	1	\$	709	\$	-	6	\$	5,258	\$	7
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied	6		4,790		-	1		896		-	7		5,686		
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied	6		10,080		-	-		-		-	6		10,080		
Raw Land and Lots	4		16,669		-	1		595		-	5		17,264		
Single Family Investment Real Estate	2		283		-	-		-		-	2		283		
Commercial and Industrial	3		724		-	7		1,251		-	10		1,975		
Other Commercial	1		236		-	-		-		-	1		236		
Consumer:															
Mortgage	8		1,183		-	1		202		-	9		1,385		
Other Consumer	4		460								4		460		
Total term modification, at a market rate	39	\$	38,974	\$	73	11	\$	3,653	\$		50	\$	42,627	\$	7
T . W. 15															
Term Modification, below market rate Commercial:															
		s		S		2	•	2.551	Φ.		2		3,551		
Commercial Construction	4	3		\$		3 2	\$	3,551	\$	-	3 6	\$		\$	
Commercial Real Estate - Owner Occupied Raw Land and Lots	6		1,003 5,960			1		183 3,437		-	7		1,186 9,397		
	1		3,960			2		427			3		811		
Single Family Investment Real Estate Commercial and Industrial	2		384			2		427			2		317		
Consumer:	2		31/								2		31/		
	2		563			_		_		_	2		563		
Mortgage Other Consumer	2		303			-					1				
Total term modification, below market rate	<u> </u>	_				1	_	68				_	68	_	
1 otal term modification, below market rate	15	\$	8,227	\$	<del></del>	9	\$	7,666	\$	<del></del>	24	\$	15,893	\$	
Interest Rate Modification, below market rate Commercial:															
Commercial Real Estate - Non-Owner Occupied	2	\$	2,390	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-	2	\$	2,390	\$	
Total interest rate modification, below market rate	2	\$	2,390	\$			\$		\$		2	\$	2,390	\$	
Total	67	2	51,468	\$	73	23	e	11,991	•		90	•	63,459	9	7

# Nonperforming Assets

At September 30, 2013, nonperforming assets totaled \$55.7 million, a decrease of \$3.4 million, or 5.8%, at December 31, 2012 and a decline of \$10.9 million, or 16.4%, from a year ago. In addition, NPAs as a percentage of total outstanding loans decreased 14 basis points to 1.85% in the current quarter from 1.99% at December 31, 2012 and declined 44 basis points from 2.29% a year earlier.

The following table shows a summary of assets quality balances and related ratios for periods presented (dollars in thousands):

	Sep	tember 30, 2013		June 30, 2013		March 31, 2013	D	ecember 31, 2012	Sej	otember 30, 2012
Nonaccrual loans	\$	19,941	\$	27,022	\$	23,033	\$	26,206	\$	32,159
Foreclosed properties		35,576		35,020		35,100		32,834		33,356
Real estate investment		133		133		778		-		1,084
Total nonperforming assets		55,650		62,175		58,911		59,040		66,599
Loans past due 90 days and accruing interest		7,326		6,291		6,187		8,843		9,096
Total nonperforming assets and loans past due 90 days and accruing interest	\$	62,976	\$	68,466	\$	65,098	\$	67,883	\$	75,695
		****	•	20.026	•	12.511	•	** ***	•	#4 000
Performing Restructurings	\$	39,287	\$	39,826	\$	42,644	\$	51,468	\$	51,933
Balances										
Allowance for loan losses	\$	33,877	\$	34,333	\$	34,415	\$	34,916	\$	39,894
Average loans, net of unearned income		2,997,083		2,847,087		2,829,881		2,804,500		2,890,666
Loans, net of unearned income		3,002,246		3,000,855		2,973,547		2,966,847		2,908,510
Ratios										
NPAs to total loans		1.85%	,	2.07%		1.98%	,	1.99%		2.29%
NPAs & loans 90 days past due to total loans		2.10%		2.28%		2.19%		2.29%		2.60%
NPAs to total loans & OREO		1.83%	,	2.05%		1.96%	,	1.97%		2.26%
NPAs & loans 90 days past due to total loans & OREO		2.07%		2.26%		2.16%	,	2.26%		2.57%
ALL to nonaccrual loans		169.89%	,	127.06%		149.42%	)	133.24%		124.05%
ALL to nonaccrual loans & loans 90 days past due		124.24%	,	103.06%		117.78%	,	99.62%		96.70%

Nonperforming assets at September 30, 2013 included \$19.9 million in nonaccrual loans (excluding purchased impaired loans), a net decrease of \$6.3 million, or 24.0%, from December 31, 2012 and a reduction of \$12.3 million, or 38.2%, from September 30, 2012. The following table shows the activity in nonaccrual loans for the quarter ended (dollars in thousands):

	Sep	tember 30, 2013	June 30, 2013	March 31, 2013	D	ecember 31, 2012	Sep	tember 30, 2012
Beginning Balance	\$	27,022	\$ 23,033	\$ 26,206	\$	32,159	\$	39,171
Net customer payments		(5,574)	(3,196)	(1,715)		(1,898)		(5,774)
Additions		3,020	7,934	2,694		2,306		2,586
Charge-offs Charge-offs		(1,669)	(476)	(2,262)		(3,388)		(3,012)
Loans returning to accruing status		(1,068)	-	(632)		(840)		(812)
Transfers to OREO		(1,790)	(273)	(1,258)		(2,133)		-
Ending Balance	\$	19,941	\$ 27,022	\$ 23,033	\$	26,206	\$	32,159

The additions during the quarter were primarily related to commercial real estate loans. The reductions in nonaccrual loans during the third quarter of 2013 were primarily related to the commercial loan portfolio, particularly commercial construction and raw land loans.

The following table presents the composition of nonaccrual loans (excluding purchased impaired loans) and the coverage ratio, which is the allowance for loan losses expressed as a percentage of nonaccrual loans, at the quarter ended (dollars in thousands):

	Septemb 201	,	June 30, 2013	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012
Raw Land and Lots	\$	3,087 \$	4,573	\$ 6,353	\$ 8,760	\$ 10,995
Commercial Construction		1,167	5,103	4,547	5,781	7,846
Commercial Real Estate		3,962	2,716	2,988	3,018	2,752
Single Family Investment Real Estate		2,076	2,859	2,117	3,420	4,081
Commercial and Industrial		6,675	7,291	2,261	2,036	2,678
Other Commercial		472	471	190	193	195
Consumer		2,502	4,009	4,577	2,998	3,612
Total	\$	19,941 \$	27,022	\$ 23,033	\$ 26,206	\$ 32,159
Coverage Ratio		169.89%	127.06%	149.42%	133.24%	124.05%

Nonperforming assets at September 30, 2013 also included \$35.7 million in OREO, an increase of \$2.9 million, or 8.8%, from December 31, 2012 and up \$1.3 million, or 3.8%, from the prior year. The following table shows the activity in OREO for the quarter ended (dollars in thousands):

	Se	September 30, 2013		June 30, 2013	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012			eptember 30, 2012
Beginning Balance	\$	35,153	\$	35,878	\$ 32,834	\$	34,440	\$	35,802
Additions		2,841		1,768	3,607		2,866		929
Capitalized Improvements		266		164	30		22		16
Valuation Adjustments		(491)		-	-		(301)		-
Proceeds from sales		(1,773)		(2,436)	(877)		(4,004)		(2,071)
Gains (losses) from sales		(287)		(221)	284		(189)		(236)
Ending Balance	\$	35,709	\$	35,153	\$ 35,878	\$	32,834	\$	34,440

During the third quarter of 2013, the additions to OREO were principally related to residential real estate; sales from OREO were principally related to residential real estate and lots.

The following table presents the composition of the OREO portfolio at the quarter ended (dollars in thousands):

	Sept	September 30, 2013		June 30, 2013	M	arch 31, 2013	Dec	ember 31, 2012	September 30, 2012	
Land	\$	10,310	\$	10,310	\$	9,861	\$	8,657	\$	6,953
Land Development		10,901		10,894		11,023		10,886		11,034
Residential Real Estate		7,995		7,274		7,467		7,939		9,729
Commercial Real Estate		6,370		6,542		6,749		5,352		5,640
Former Bank Premises (1)		133		133		778		-		1,084
Total	\$	35,709	\$	35,153	\$	35,878	\$	32,834	\$	34,440

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes closed branch property and land previously held for branch sites.

Included in land development is \$9.4 million related to a residential community in the Northern Neck region of Virginia, which includes developed residential lots, a golf course, and undeveloped land. Foreclosed properties were adjusted to their fair values at the time of each foreclosure and any losses were taken as loan charge-offs against the allowance for loan losses at that time. OREO asset balances are also evaluated at least quarterly by the subsidiary bank's Special Asset Loan Committee and any necessary write downs to fair values are recorded as impairment.

### Past Due Loans

At September 30, 2013, total accruing past due loans were \$30.5 million, or 1.02% of total loans, a decrease from \$32.4 million, or 1.09% of total loans, at December 31, 2012 and \$39.0 million, or 1.34% of total loans, a year ago.

### Charge-offs and delinquencies

For the quarter ended September 30, 2013, net charge-offs of loans were \$2.3 million, or 0.30% on an annualized basis, compared to \$1.1 million, or 0.14%, for the second quarter and \$3.5 million, or 0.48%, for the same quarter last year. The increase in charge-offs from the prior quarter related to loans that were previously considered impaired and specifically reserved for in prior periods. Of the \$2.3 million in net charge-offs in the current quarter, \$1.8 million, or 78%, related to impaired loans specifically reserved for in the prior period. Net charge-offs in the current quarter included commercial loans of \$1.7 million.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, net charge-offs of loans were \$5.9 million, or 0.26% on an annualized basis, compared to \$8.5 million, or 0.39% on an annualized basis, for the same period last year. Of the net charge-offs during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, \$3.7 million were related to commercial loans.

#### Provision

The provision for loan losses for the current quarter was \$1.8 million, an increase of \$800,000 from the last quarter and a decrease of \$600,000 from the same quarter a year ago. The increase in provision for loan losses in the current quarter compared to the prior quarter is driven by the impact of the increased linked quarter charge-offs on the historical loss factor. The provision to loans ratio for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 was 0.24% on an annualized basis compared to 0.13% last quarter and 0.33% the same quarter a year ago.

The provision for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$4.9 million compared to \$8.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decline in provision for loan losses in the current period compared to the last year is driven by improving asset quality and the impact of overall lower historical charge-off factors. The provision to loans ratio for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was 0.22% on an annualized basis compared to 0.41% the same period a year ago.

#### Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of the total loan portfolio, adjusted for acquired loans (non-GAAP), was 1.30% at September 30, 2013, a decrease from 1.40% at December 31, 2012 and 1.66% at September 30, 2012. In acquisition accounting, there is no carryover of previously established allowance for loan losses. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of the total loan portfolio was 1.13% at September 30, 2013, 1.18% at December 31, 2012, and 1.37% at September 30, 2012. The decrease in the allowance and related ratios was primarily attributable to the charge-off of impaired loans specifically reserved for in prior periods and improving credit quality metrics.

Impaired loans have declined from \$155.4 million at December 31, 2012 and \$177.9 million at September 30, 2012 to \$119.2 million at September 30, 2013. The nonaccrual loan coverage ratio was at the highest level since the last quarter of 2008 at 169.9% at September 30, 2013, an increase from 133.2% at December 31, 2012 and 124.1% from the same quarter last year. The current level of the allowance for loan losses reflects specific reserves related to nonperforming loans, current risk ratings on loans, net charge-off activity, loan growth, delinquency trends, and other credit risk factors that the Company considers in assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses.

The following table summarizes activity in the allowance for loan losses during the quarter ended (dollars in thousands):

	Se	September 30,		June 30,	March 31,	D	December 31,	September 30,	
		2013		2013	2013		2012		2012
Balance, beginning of period	\$	34,333	\$	34,415	\$ 34,916	\$	39,894	\$	40,985
Loans charged-off:									
Commercial		147		274	40		506		898
Real estate		2,104		1,175	2,975		7,100		2,821
Consumer		342		354	370		1,012		452
Total loans charged-off		2,593		1,803	3,385		8,618		4,171
Recoveries:	,		,	,					
Commercial		46		293	246		41		120
Real estate		80		143	378		91		267
Consumer		211		285	210		208		293
Total recoveries	,	337	,	721	834		340		680
Net charge-offs		2,256		1,082	2,551		8,278		3,491
Provision for loan losses		1,800		1,000	2,050		3,300		2,400
Balance, end of period	\$	33,877	\$	34,333	\$ 34,415	\$	34,916	\$	39,894
	<u> </u>								
Allowance for loan losses to loans		1.13%	,	1.14%	1.16%		1.18%		1.37%
Allowance-to-legacy loans (Non-GAAP)		1.30%	,	1.33%	1.36%		1.40%		1.66%
Net charge-offs to total loans		0.30%	,	0.14%	0.35%		1.11%		0.48%
Provision to total loans		0.24%	•	0.13%	0.28%		0.44%		0.33%

The following table shows both an allocation of the allowance for loan losses among loan categories based upon the loan portfolio's composition and the ratio of the related outstanding loan balances to total loans as of the period ended (dollars in thousands):

	September 2013	*	June 30, 2013			March 31, 2013				December 31, 2012				September 30, 2012		
	 \$	%(1)	\$	%	o (1)		\$	,	% (1)		\$	%	(1)	\$	% (1)	
Commercial	\$ 2,098	6.2%	\$ 2,087		6.1%	\$	2,117	_	6.2%	\$	2,195		6.3%	\$ 2,388	6.0%	
Real estate	28,334	83.6%	28,849		84.0%		28,926		84.0%		29,403		84.2%	33,932	85.0%	
Consumer	3,445	10.2%	3,397		9.9%		3,372		9.8%		3,318		9.5%	3,574	9.0%	
Total	\$ 33,877	100.0%	\$ 34,333		100.0%	\$	34,415		100.0%	\$	34,916		100.0%	\$ 39,894	100.0%	

<sup>(1)</sup> The percent represents the loan balance divided by total loans.

### Deposits

As of September 30, 2013, total deposits were \$3.2 billion, down \$72.8 million, or 2.2%, from December 31, 2012 and up \$25.1 million, or 0.8%, from September 30, 2012. Total interest-bearing deposits consist of NOW, money market, savings, and time deposit account balances. Total time deposit balances of \$907.3 million accounted for 35.9% of total interest-bearing deposits at September 30, 2013. The Company continues to experience a shift from time deposits into lower cost transaction (demand deposits, NOW, money market, and savings) accounts. This shift is driven by the Company's focus on acquiring low cost deposits and customer preference for liquidity in a historically low interest rate environment.

The community bank segment may also borrow additional funds by purchasing certificates of deposit through a nationally recognized network of financial institutions. The Company utilizes this funding source when rates are more favorable than other funding sources. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were \$0 and \$10.2 million, respectively, purchased and included in certificates of deposit on the balance sheet. Maturities of time deposits as of September 30, 2013 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Within 3 Months	3 - 12 Months	Over 12 Months	Total	Total Deposits
Maturities of time deposits of \$100,000 and over	\$ 69,329	\$ 186,302	\$ 182,845	\$ 438,476	13.60%
Maturities of other time deposits	80,969	208,726	179,142	468,837	14.54%
Total time deposits	\$ 150,298	\$ 395,028	\$ 361,987	\$ 907,313	28.14%

### Capital Resources

Capital resources represent funds, earned or obtained, over which financial institutions can exercise greater or longer control in comparison with deposits and borrowed funds. The adequacy of the Company's capital is reviewed by management on an ongoing basis with reference to size, composition, and quality of the Company's resources and consistency with regulatory requirements and industry standards. Management seeks to maintain a capital structure that will assure an adequate level of capital to support anticipated asset growth and to absorb potential losses, yet allow management to effectively leverage its capital to maximize return to shareholders.

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve") and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") have adopted capital guidelines to supplement the existing definitions of capital for regulatory purposes and to establish minimum capital standards. Specifically, the guidelines categorize assets and off-balance sheet items into four risk-weighted categories. The minimum ratio of qualifying total assets is 8.0%, of which 4.0% must be Tier 1 capital, consisting of common equity, retained earnings and a limited amount of perpetual preferred stock, less certain intangible items. The table below shows the Company exceeded the definition of "well capitalized" for regulatory purposes.

In connection with two bank acquisitions, prior to 2006, the Company issued trust preferred capital notes to fund the cash portion of those acquisitions, collectively totaling \$58.5 million. The trust preferred capital notes currently qualify for Tier 1 capital of the Company for regulatory purposes.

The following table summarizes the Company's regulatory capital and related ratios (dollars in thousands):

	Sep	otember 30, 2013	Dec	cember 31, 2012	Sej	ptember 30, 2012
Tier 1 capital	\$	421,357	\$	409,879	\$	412,744
Tier 2 capital		40,732		44,566		47,912
Total risk-based capital		462,089		454,445		460,656
Risk-weighted assets		3,209,564		3,119,063		3,070,839
Capital ratios:						
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio		13.13%		13.14%		13.44%
Total risk-based capital ratio		14.40%		14.57%		15.00%
Leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital to average adjusted assets)		10.62%		10.52%		10.53%
Common equity to assets		10.72%		10.64%		11.00%
Tangible common equity to tangible assets		9.09%		8.97%		9.27%

In June 2012, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve, and the FDIC proposed rules that would revise and replace the current capital rules to align with the Basel III capital standards and meet certain requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act. On July 2, 2013, the Federal Reserve approved revisions to its Basel III capital adequacy guidelines. The final rule requires the Company to comply with the following new minimum capital ratios, effective January 1, 2015: (1) a new common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5% of risk-weighted assets; (2) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 6% of risk-weighted assets (increased from the current requirement of 4%); (3) a total capital ratio of 8% of risk-weighted assets (unchanged from current requirement); and, (4) a leverage ratio of 4% of total assets.

Based on management's interpretation and understanding of the new rules, the Company has evaluated the impact of the Basel III rule and expects the Company will continue to exceed the well capitalized minimum capital requirements based on the September 30, 2013 balance sheet composition.

### NON-GAAP MEASURES

In reporting the results of September 30, 2013, the Company has provided supplemental performance measures on an operating or tangible basis. Operating measures exclude acquisition costs unrelated to the Company's normal operations. The Company believes these measures are useful to investors as they exclude non-operating adjustments resulting from acquisition activity and allow investors to see the combined economic results of the organization. Tangible common equity is used in the calculation of certain capital and per share ratios. The Company believes tangible common equity and the related ratios are meaningful measures of capital adequacy because they provide a meaningful base for period-to-period and company-to-company comparisons, which the Company believes will assist investors in assessing the capital of the Company and its ability to absorb potential losses.

These measures are a supplement to GAAP used to prepare the Company's financial statements and should not be viewed as a substitute for GAAP measures. In addition, the Company's non-GAAP measures may not be comparable to non-GAAP measures of other companies.

The following table reconciles these non-GAAP measures from their respective GAAP basis measures for the periods ended (dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

Three Months Ended Nine Months Ended		
09/30/13 06/30/13 09/30/12 09/30/13 09/30/12	0	
		lternative Performance Measures (non-GAAP)
		Operating Earnings (non-GAAP)
\$ 7,946 \$ 9,463 \$ 9,626 \$ 26,392 \$ 25,969	\$	Net Income (GAAP)
471 919 - 1,391 -		Plus: Merger and conversion related expense, after tax
\$ 8,417 \$ 10,382 \$ 9,626 \$ 27,783 \$ 25,969	\$	Net operating earnings (loss) (non-GAAP)
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
\$ 0.34 \$ 0.42 \$ 0.37 \$ 1.11 \$ 1.00	•	Operating earnings per share - Basic
0.34 0.42 0.37 1.11 1.00	J	Operating earnings per share - Diluted
0.54 0.42 0.57 1.11 1.00		Operating earnings per share - Diluted
0.83% 1.03% 0.96% 0.92% 0.88°		Operating ROA
7.74% 9.58% 8.70% 8.55% 8.03		Operating ROE
9.31% 11.54% 10.55% 10.29% 9.81°		Operating ROTCE
7.5170 11.5170 10.5570 10.5570 7.617		Operating ROTOL
		Community Bank Segment Operating Earnings (non-GAAP)
\$ 9,181 \$ 9,169 \$ 8,767 \$ 27,153 \$ 24,406	S	Net Income (GAAP)
471 919 - 1,391 -		Plus: Merger and conversion related expense, after tax
\$ 9,652 \$ 10,088 \$ 8,767 \$ 28,544 \$ 24,406	•	Net operating earnings (loss) (non-GAAP)
\$ 9,032 \$ 10,000 \$ 0,707 \$ 20,344 \$ 24,400	3	rect operating earnings (1955) (non-Ozuru )
\$ 0.39 \$ 0.41 \$ 0.34 \$ 1.14 \$ 0.94	\$	Operating earnings per share - Basic
0.39 0.41 0.34 1.14 0.94		Operating earnings per share - Diluted
4.00		o d pod
0.95% 1.01% 0.87% 0.95% 0.83%		Operating ROA
9.08% 9.52% 8.06% 8.98% 7.67%		Operating ROE
10.97% 11.51% 9.81% 10.86% 9.40%		Operating ROTCE
		O
0 27,050 0 27,402 0 20,772 0 112,014 0 115,107	6	Operating Efficiency Ratio FTE (non-GAAP)
\$ 37,858 \$ 37,403 \$ 38,762 \$ 113,014 \$ 115,196	3	Net Interest Income (GAAP)
1,316 1,295 1,052 3,868 3,133		FTE adjustment
\$ 39,174 38,698 39,814 116,882 118,329	\$	Net Interest Income (FTE)
9,216 11,299 10,502 30,349 29,232		Noninterest Income (GAAP)
\$ 34,132 \$ 34,283 \$ 33,268 \$ 101,915 \$ 99,143	\$	Noninterest Expense (GAAP)
473 919 - 1,393 -	<del>.</del>	Merger and conversion related expense
\$ 33,659 \$ 33,364 \$ 33,268 \$ 100,522 \$ 99,143	\$	Noninterest Expense (Non-GAAP)
60 500 65 700 65		O d POT D d POT ( OLAN)
69.56% 66.73% 66.12% 68.28% 67.19%		Operating Efficiency Ratio FTE (non-GAAP)
		Community Pauls Commant On austing Efficiency Patie ETE (non-CAAR)
<u>xr)</u> \$ 37,465 \$ 36,960 \$ 38,428 \$ 111,612 \$ 114,258	6	Community Bank Segment Operating Efficiency Ratio FTE (non-GAAP)
	\$	Net Interest Income (GAAP)
1,315 1,294 1,052 3,868 3,133		FTE adjustment
\$ 38,780 38,254 39,480 115,480 117,391	\$	Net Interest Income (FTE)
7,322 6,798 5,863 20,266 18,228	•	Noninterest Income (GAAP)
\$ 29,904 \$ 29,793 \$ 29,709 \$ 89,242 \$ 89,780	\$	Noninterest Expense (GAAP)
473 919 - 1,393 -		Merger and conversion related expense
\$ 29,431 \$ 28,874 \$ 29,709 \$ 87,849 \$ 89,780	\$	Noninterest Expense (Non-GAAP)
63.84% 64.09% 65.52% 64.72% 66.20%		Operating Efficiency Ratio FTE (non-GAAP)
03.01/0 01.07/0 03.32/0 01.72/0 00.20/		Operating Efficiency radio FTE (non-OPERT)
		Tangible Common Equity
	\$	
.,,.,		
<u>12,900</u> <u>13,821</u> <u>16,966</u> <u>12,900</u> <u>16,966</u>		· · · ·
\$ 361,371 \$ 355,208 \$ 366,450 \$ 361,371 \$ 366,450	\$	Ending tangible common equity
\$ 431,312 \$ 434,640 \$ 440,122 \$ 434,620 \$ 432,138	\$	Average equity
181 2 281		Less: Average trademark intangible
59,400 59,400 59,400 59,400 59,400		Less: Average goodwill
13,343 14,266 17,546 14,270 18,768		Less: Average core deposit intangibles
	\$	Average tangible common equity
\$ 431,312 \$ 434,640 \$ 440,122 \$ 434,620 \$ \\ 181 2 \\ 59,400 59,400 59,400 59,400 59,400 \\ 13,343 14,266 17,546 14,270	\$	Less: Average trademark intangible Less: Average goodwill Less: Average core deposit intangibles

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of the total loan portfolio includes net loans acquired in previous acquisitions. The Company believes the presentation of the allowance-to-legacy loan ratio (non-GAAP) is useful to investors because the acquired loans were recorded at a market discount (including credit valuation) with no allowance for loan losses carried over to the Company.

Acquired loans that have further deteriorated are included in the loan loss calculation of the allowance-to-legacy loan ratio. In order to present the allowance-to-legacy loan ratio, acquired loans with no additional credit deterioration beyond the original credit mark are adjusted out of the loan balance. The following table shows the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of the total loan portfolio, adjusted to remove acquired loans (dollars in thousands):

	Se	eptember 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012
Gross loans	\$	3,002,246	\$	2,966,847	\$ 2,908,510
Less: acquired loans without additional credit deterioration		(395,095)		(474,252)	(505,362)
Gross loans, net of acquired	\$	2,607,151	\$	2,492,595	\$ 2,403,148
Allowance for loan losses	\$	33,877	\$	34,916	\$ 39,894
Allowance for loan losses ratio		1.13%	,	1.18%	1.37%
Allowance for loan losses ratio, net of acquired		1.30%	,	1.40%	1.66%

### ITEM 3 – QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments due to changes in interest rates, exchange rates, and equity prices. The Company's market risk is composed primarily of interest rate risk. The Asset and Liability Management Committee ("ALCO") of the Company is responsible for reviewing the interest rate sensitivity position of the Company and establishing policies to monitor and limit exposure to this risk. The Company's Board of Directors reviews and approves the guidelines established by ALCO.

Interest rate risk is monitored through the use of three complementary modeling tools: static gap analysis, earnings simulation modeling, and economic value simulation (net present value estimation). Each of these models measures changes in a variety of interest rate scenarios. While each of the interest rate risk models has limitations, taken together they represent a reasonably comprehensive view of the magnitude of interest rate risk in the Company, the distribution of risk along the yield curve, the level of risk through time, and the amount of exposure to changes in certain interest rate relationships. Static gap, which measures aggregate re-pricing values, is less utilized because it does not effectively measure the options risk impact on the Company and is not addressed here. Earnings simulation and economic value models, which more effectively measure the cash flow and optionality impacts, are utilized by management on a regular basis and are explained below.

### EARNINGS SIMULATION ANALYSIS

Management uses simulation analysis to measure the sensitivity of net interest income to changes in interest rates. The model calculates an earnings estimate based on current and projected balances and rates. This method is subject to the accuracy of the assumptions that underlie the process, but it provides a better analysis of the sensitivity of earnings to changes in interest rates than other analyses, such as the static gap analysis discussed above.

Assumptions used in the model are derived from historical trends and management's outlook and include loan and deposit growth rates and projected yields and rates. Such assumptions are monitored by management and periodically adjusted as appropriate. All maturities, calls, and prepayments in the securities portfolio are assumed to be reinvested in like instruments. Mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities prepayment assumptions are based on industry estimates of prepayment speeds for portfolios with similar coupon ranges and seasoning. Different interest rate scenarios and yield curves are used to measure the sensitivity of earnings to changing interest rates. Interest rates on different asset and liability accounts move differently when the prime rate changes and are reflected in the different rate scenarios.

The Company uses its simulation model to estimate earnings in rate environments where rates are instantaneously shocked up or down around a "most likely" rate scenario, based on implied forward rates. The analysis assesses the impact on net interest income over a 12 month time horizon after an immediate increase or "shock" in rates, of 100 basis points up to 300 basis points. The shock down 200 or 300 basis points analysis is not as meaningful as interest rates across most of the yield curve are at historic lows and cannot decrease another 200 or 300 basis points. The model, under all scenarios, does not drop the index below zero.

The following table represents the interest rate sensitivity on net interest income for the Company across the rate paths modeled for balances ended September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	Change In Net Inter	est Income
	9/0	\$
Change in Yield Curve:		
+300 basis points	(0.87)	(1,395)
+200 basis points	(0.60)	(964)
+100 basis points	(0.60)	(953)
Most likely rate scenario	<u>-</u>	-
-100 basis points	(2.12)	(3,393)
-200 basis points	(5.38)	(8,601)
-300 basis points	(7.00)	(11,183)

### ECONOMIC VALUE SIMULATION

Economic value simulation is used to calculate the estimated fair value of assets and liabilities over different interest rate environments. Economic values are calculated based on discounted cash flow analysis. The net economic value of equity is the economic value of all assets minus the economic value of all liabilities. The change in net economic value over different rate environments is an indication of the longer-term earnings capability of the balance sheet. The same assumptions are used in the economic value simulation as in the earnings simulation. The economic value simulation uses instantaneous rate shocks to the balance sheet.

The following chart reflects the estimated change in net economic value over different rate environments using economic value simulation for the balances at the period ended September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	Change In Economic V	alue of Equity
	0/0	\$
Change in Yield Curve:		
+300 basis points	(11.09)	(67,315)
+200 basis points	(6.91)	(41,972)
+100 basis points	(3.17)	(19,272)
Most likely rate scenario	<del>-</del>	-
-100 basis points	(0.87)	(5,279)
-200 basis points	(5.47)	(33,224)
-300 basis points	(7.87)	(47,777)

The shock down 200 or 300 basis points analysis is not as meaningful since interest rates across most of the yield curve are at historic lows and cannot decrease another 200 or 300 basis points. While management considers this scenario highly unlikely, the natural floor increases the Company's sensitivity in rates down scenarios.

### ITEM 4 – CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains "disclosure controls and procedures," as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating its disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level. There was no change in the internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the internal control over financial reporting.

### PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1 – LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the ordinary course of its operations, the Company is a party to various legal proceedings. Based on the information presently available, and after consultation with legal counsel, management believes that the ultimate outcome in such proceedings, in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the business or the financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

### Litigation Relating to the StellarOne Acquisition

In a joint press release issued on June 10, 2013, the Company announced the signing of a definitive merger agreement for the acquisition of StellarOne Corporation. The Company expects to close the merger on or around January 1, 2014, subject to customary closing conditions, including regulatory and shareholder approvals. On June 14, 2013, Jaclyn Crescente, individually and on behalf of all other StellarOne shareholders, filed a class action complaint against StellarOne, its current directors, StellarOne Bank and the Company, in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Virginia, Charlottesville Division (Case No. 3:13-cv-00021-NKM). The complaint alleges that the StellarOne directors breached their fiduciary duties by approving the merger with the Company, and that the Company aided and abetted in such breaches of duty. The complaint seeks, among other things, an order enjoining the defendants from proceeding with or consummating the merger, as well as other equitable relief and/or money damages in the event that the transaction is completed. StellarOne and the Company believe that the claims are without merit.

### ITEM 1A - RISK FACTORS

The following risk factors should be considered in addition to the risk factors disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. Such factors relate to the Company's pending merger with StellarOne Corporation.

### Combining the Company and StellarOne may be more difficult, costly or time-consuming than the Company expects.

The success of the merger will depend, in part, on the Company's ability to realize the anticipated benefits and cost savings from combining the businesses of the Company and StellarOne and to combine the businesses of the Company and StellarOne in a manner that permits growth opportunities and cost savings to be realized without materially disrupting the existing customer relationships of StellarOne or the Company or decreasing revenues due to loss of customers. However, to realize these anticipated benefits and cost savings, the Company must successfully combine the businesses of the Company and StellarOne. If the Company is not able to achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the merger may not be realized fully or at all or may take longer to realize than expected.

The Company and StellarOne have operated, and, until the completion of the merger, will continue to operate, independently. The success of the merger will depend, in part, on the Company's ability to successfully combine the businesses of the Company and StellarOne. To realize these anticipated benefits, after the completion of the merger, the Company expects to integrate StellarOne's business into its own. The integration process in the merger could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of each party's ongoing business, inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that affect adversely either party's ability to maintain relationships with customers and employees or achieve the anticipated benefits of the merger. The loss of key employees could adversely affect the Company's ability to successfully conduct its business in the markets in which StellarOne now operates, which could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial results and the value of its common stock. If the Company experiences difficulties with the integration process, the anticipated benefits of the merger may not be realized fully or at all, or may take longer to realize than expected. As with any merger of financial institutions, there also may be disruptions that cause the Company and StellarOne to lose customers or cause customers to withdraw their deposits from StellarOne's or the Company's banking subsidiaries, or other unintended consequences that could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition after the merger. These integration matters could have an adverse effect on each of StellarOne and the Company during this transition period and for an undetermined period after consummation of the merger.

### If the Company and StellarOne do not successfully integrate, the Company may not realize the expected benefits from the merger.

Integration in connection with a merger is sometimes difficult, and there is a risk that integrating the Company and StellarOne may take more time and resources than the Company expects. The Company's ability to integrate StellarOne and its future success depend in large part on the ability of members of its Board of Directors and executive officers to work together effectively. After the merger, the Company will be governed by a Board of Directors comprised of 19 directors, of which 11 are current directors of the Company and eight are current directors of StellarOne. Additionally, after the merger, Dr. Raymond D. Smoot, Jr., current chairman of StellarOne's Board of Directors, will serve as chairman of the Company's Board of Directors and Ronald L. Hicks, the current chairman of the Company's Board, will serve as vice chairman of the Company's Board. Further, any current StellarOne directors who are not selected to serve on the Company's Board of Directors will be offered a Board position with Union First Market Bank, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary bank (the "Bank") in connection with the subsidiary bank merger. Members of the Company's executive management team are expected to remain in their current positions. Disagreements among Board members and executive management could arise in connection with integration issues, strategic considerations and other matters. As a result, there is a risk that the Company's Board of Directors and executive officers may not be able to operate effectively, which would affect adversely the Company's ability to integrate the operations of the Company and StellarOne successfully and the Company's future operating results.

### The Company may not be able to effectively integrate the operations of StellarOne Bank into Union First Market Bank.

The future operating performance of the Company and the Bank will depend, in part, on the success of the merger of the Bank and StellarOne Bank, which is expected to occur in May 2014. The success of the merger of the banks will, in turn, depend on a number of factors, including the Company's ability to: (i) integrate the operations and branches of the Bank and StellarOne Bank; (ii) retain the deposits and customers of the Bank and StellarOne Bank; (iii) control the incremental increase in noninterest expense arising from the merger in a manner that enables the combined bank to improve its overall operating efficiencies; and (iv) retain and integrate the appropriate personnel of StellarOne Bank into the operations of the Bank, as well as reducing overlapping bank personnel. The integration of the Bank and StellarOne Bank following the subsidiary bank merger will require the dedication of the time and resources of the banks' management, and may temporarily distract managements' attention from the day-to-day business of the banks. If the Bank is unable to successfully integrate StellarOne Bank, the Bank may not be able to realize expected operating efficiencies and eliminate redundant costs.

### The merger may distract management of the Company and StellarOne from their other responsibilities.

The merger could cause the respective management groups of the Company and StellarOne to focus their time and energies on matters related to the transaction that otherwise would be directed to their business and operations. Any such distraction on the part of either company's management, if significant, could affect its ability to service existing business and develop new business and adversely affect the business and earnings of the Company or StellarOne before the merger, or the business and earnings of the Company after the merger.

### Termination of the merger agreement could negatively impact the Company.

If the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization, dated as of June 9, 2013, between the Company and StellarOne (the "merger agreement") is terminated, the Company's business may be impacted adversely by the failure to pursue other beneficial opportunities due to the focus of management on the merger, without realizing any of the anticipated benefits of completing the merger. Additionally, if the merger agreement is terminated, the market price of the Company's common stock could decline to the extent that the current market price reflects a market assumption that the merger will be completed. Furthermore, costs relating to the merger, such as legal, accounting and financial advisory fees, must be paid even if the merger is not completed. If the merger agreement is terminated under certain circumstances, the Company may be required to pay StellarOne a termination fee of \$21.8 million.

### The merger agreement limits the ability of the Company to pursue alternatives to the merger.

The merger agreement contains "no-shop" provisions that, subject to limited exceptions, limit the ability of the Company to discuss, facilitate or commit to competing third-party proposals to acquire all or a significant part of the Company. In addition, under certain circumstances, if the merger agreement is terminated and the Company, subject to certain restrictions, consummates a similar transaction other than the merger, the Company must pay to StellarOne a termination fee of \$21.8 million. These provisions might discourage a potential competing acquiror that might have an interest in acquiring all or a significant part of the Company from considering or proposing the acquisition even if it were prepared to pay consideration the value of which is greater than the current per share market price of the Company.

### The Company and StellarOne will be subject to business uncertainties and contractual restrictions while the merger is pending.

Uncertainty about the effect of the merger on employees and customers may have an adverse effect on the Company and StellarOne. These uncertainties may impair the Company's and StellarOne's ability to attract, retain and motivate key personnel until the merger is completed, and could cause customers and others that deal with the Company and StellarOne to seek to change existing business relationships with the Company and StellarOne. Retention of certain employees by the Company and StellarOne may be challenging while the merger is pending, as certain employees may experience uncertainty about their future roles with the Company or StellarOne. If key employees depart because of issues relating to the uncertainty and difficulty of integration or a desire not to remain with the Company or StellarOne, the Company's or StellarOne's business, or the business of the Company following the merger, could be harmed. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, the Company and StellarOne have each agreed to operate its business in the ordinary course prior to closing and refrain from taking certain specified actions until the merger occurs.

### If the merger is not completed, the Company will have incurred substantial expenses without realizing the expected benefits of the merger.

The Company has incurred and will continue to incur substantial expenses in connection with the negotiation and completion of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, as well as the costs and expenses of filing, printing and mailing a joint proxy statement/prospectus and all filing and other fees paid to the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the merger. If the merger is not completed, the Company would have to recognize these expenses without realizing the expected benefits of the merger.

# Pending litigation against StellarOne and the Company could result in an injunction preventing the completion of the merger or a judgment resulting in the payment of damages.

In connection with the merger, a purported StellarOne shareholder has filed a class action complaint against StellarOne, its current directors, StellarOne Bank and the Company. Among other remedies, the plaintiff seeks to enjoin the merger. The outcome of any such litigation is uncertain. If the case is not resolved, the lawsuit could prevent or delay completion of the merger and result in substantial costs to the Company and StellarOne, including any costs associated with the indemnification of directors and officers. The plaintiff and other potential shareholder plaintiffs may file additional lawsuits against the Company, StellarOne and/or the directors and officers of either company in connection with the merger. The defense or settlement of any lawsuit or claim that remains unresolved at the time the merger is completed may adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

### ITEM 2 – UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

- (a) Sales of Unregistered Securities None
- (b) Use of Proceeds Not Applicable
- (c) Issuer Purchases of Securities

On February 28, 2013, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program to purchase up to 750,000 shares of the Company's common stock on the open market or in private transactions. The authorization permits management to repurchase the Company's shares from time to time at management's discretion. The repurchase program is authorized through December 31, 2013. No purchases of the Company's common stock were made during the three month period ended September 30, 2013 by or on behalf of the Company or any affiliated purchaser. As of September 30, 2013, 250,000 shares remain available for repurchase under the share repurchase program.

# ITEM 6 – EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are filed as part of this Form 10-Q and this list includes the Exhibit Index:

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization, dated as of June 9, 2013, between Union First Market Bankshares Corporation and StellarOne Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 12, 2013).
31.01	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.02	Certification of Principal Financial and Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.01	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.00	Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 and (v) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (furnished herewith).
	- 75 -

# SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

> Union First Market Bankshares Corporation (Registrant)

Date: November 7, 2013 /s/ G. William Beale

G. William Beale,
President and Chief Executive Officer

(principal executive officer)

/s/ Robert M. Gorman Date: November 7, 2013

Robert M. Gorman,

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(principal financial and accounting officer)

### Exhibit 31.01

### CERTIFICATIONS

- I, G. William Beale, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Union First Market Bankshares Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 7, 2013

/s/ G. William Beale

G. William Beale, President and Chief Executive Officer

### Exhibit 31.02

### CERTIFICATIONS

- I, Robert M. Gorman, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Union First Market Bankshares Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 7, 2013

/s/ Robert M. Gorman

Robert M. Gorman,

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

# Exhibit 32.01

# CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Union First Market Bankshares Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending September 30, 2013 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 that based on their knowledge and belief: 1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and 2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of and for the periods covered in the Report.

/s/ G. William Beale

G. William Beale, President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Robert M. Gorman

Robert M. Gorman, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

November 7, 2013

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 has been provided to Union First Market Bankshares Corporation and will be retained by Union First Market Bankshares Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.